AN

E S S A Y

ON

SHAKESPEARE'S FALSTAFF.

A N

E S S A Y

ON THE

DRAMATIC CHARACTER

O F

Sir JOHN FALSTAFF.

I am not John of Gaunt your Grandfather, but yet no COWARD, Hal.

First Part of HENRY IV.

L O N D O N:

Printed for T. DAVIES, in Russel-Street, Covent Garden.

MDCCLXXVII.

PREFACE.

THE following sheetswere written inconsequence of a friendly conversation, turning by some chance upon the Character of FALSTAFF, wherein the Writer, maintaining contrary to the general Opinion, that, this Character was not intended to be shewn as a Coward, he was challenged to deliver and support that Opinion from the Press, with an engagement, now he fears forgotten, for it was three years ago, that he should be answered thro' the

A

fame

fame channel: Thus stimulated, these papers were almost wholly written in a very short time, but not without those attentions, whether fuccessful or not, which seemed necessary to carry them beyond the Press into the hands of the Public. From the influence of the foregoing circumstances it is, that the Writer has generally affumed rather the character and tone of an Advocate than of an Inquirer;—though if he had not first inquired and been convinced, he should never have attempted to

have

haveamusedeitherhimselforothers with the subject.—The impulse of the occasion, however, being passed, the papers were thrown by, and almost forgotten: But having been looked into of late by some friends, who observing that the Writer had not enlarged fo far for the fake of Falstaff alone, but that the Argument was made fubservient to Critical amusement, persuaded him to revife and convey it to the Prefs. This has been accordingly done, though he fears fomething too hastily, as he found it proper

A₂ to

to add, while the papers were in the course of printing, some considerations on the Whole Character of Falstaff; which ought to have been accompanied by a slight reform of a few preceding passages, which may seem, in consequence of this addition, to contain too favourable a representation of his Morals.

The vindication of FALSTAFF'S Courage is truly no otherwise the object than some old fantastic Oak, or grotesque Rock, may be the object of a morning's ride; yet being

being proposed as such, may serve to limit the distance, and shape the course: The real object is Exercise, and the Delight which a rich, beautiful, picturesque, and perhaps unknown Country, may excite from every fide. Such an Exercise may admit of some little excursion, keeping however the Road in view; but feems to exclude every appearance of labour and of toil.—Under the impression of such Feelings the Writer has endeavoured to preferve to his Text a certain lightness of air, and chearfulness

fulness of tone; but is sensible however that the manner of difcussion does not every where, particularly near the commencement, fufficiently correspond with his defign.—If the Book shall be fortunate enough to obtain another Impression, a separation may be made; and fuch of the heavier parts as cannot be wholly dispensed with, fink to their more proper station, a Note.

He is fearful likewise that he may have erred in the other extreme; and that having thought himself

himself intitled, even in argument, to a certain degree of playful difcussion, may have pushed it, in a few places, even to levity. This error might be yet more eafily reformed than the other.—The Book is perhaps, as it stands, too bulky for the subject; but if the Reader knew how many pressing considerations, as it grew into fize, the Author refisted, which yet seemed intitled to be heard, he would the more readily excuse him.

The whole is a mere Experiment, and the Writer confiders it as fuch:

It may have the advantages, but it is likewise attended with all the difficulties and dangers, of Novelty.

ON THE

Dramatic Character

OF

Sir JOHN FALSTAFF.

THE ideas which I have formed concerning the Courage and Military Character of the Dramatic Sir John Falflaff, are so different from those which I find generally to prevail in the world, that I shall take the liberty of stating my sentiments on the subject; in hope that some person as unengaged as myself, will either correct and reform my error in this respect; or, joining himself to my opinion, redeem me from, what I may call, the reproach of singularity.

B I am

I am to avow then, that I do not clearly difcern that Sir John Falftaff deserves to bear the character so generally given him of an absolute Coward; or, in other words, that I do not conceive Shakespeare ever meant to make Cowardice an essential part of his constitution.

I know how univerfally the contrary opinion prevails; and I know what respect and deference are due to the public voice. But if to the avowal of this singularity, I add all the reasons that have led me to it, and acknowledge myself to be wholly in the judgment of the public, I shall hope to avoid the censure of too much forwardness or indecorum.

It must, in the first place, be admitted that the appearances in this case are singularly strong and striking; and so they had need be, to become the ground of so general a censure. We see this extraordinary Character, almost in the first moment of our acquaintance with him, involved in circumstances

cumstances of apparent dishonour; and we hear him familiarly called Coward by his most intimate companions. We see him, on occasion of the robbery at Gads-Hill, in the very act of running away from the Prince and Poins; and we behold him, on another of more honourable obligation, in open day light, in battle, and acting in his profession as a Soldier, escaping from Douglas even out of the world as it were; counterfeiting death, and deferting his very existence; and we find him on the former occasion, betrayed into those lies and braggadocioes, which are the usual concomitants of Cowardice in Military men, and pretenders to valour. These are not only in themfelves strong circumstances, but they are moreover thrust forward, prest upon our notice as the subject of our mirth, as the great business of the scene: No wonder, therefore, that the word should go forth that Falltaff is exhibited as a character of Cowardice and dishonour.

What there is to the contrary of this, it is my business to discover. Much, I think, will presently B 2 appear;

appear; but it lies so dispersed, is so latent, and so purposely obscured, that the reader must have some patience whilst I collect it into one body, and make it the object of a steady and regular contemplation.

But what have we to do, may my readers exclaim, with principles fo latent, fo obscured? In Dramatic composition the Impression is the Fast; and the Writer, who, meaning to impress one thing, has impressed another, is unworthy of observation.

It is a very unpleasant thing to have, in the first setting out, so many and so strong prejudices to contend with. All that one can do in such case, is, to pray the reader to have a little patience in the commencement; and to reserve his censure, if it must pass, for the conclusion. Under his gracious allowance, therefore, I presume to declare it, as my opinion, that Cowardice is not the Impression, which the whole character of Falsass

is calculated to make on the minds of an unprejudiced audience; tho' there be, I confess, a great deal of fomething in the composition likely enough to puzzle, and consequently to mislead the Understanding.—The reader will perceive that I diffinguish between mental Impressions, and the Understanding.-I wish to avoid every thing that looks like fubtlety and refinement; but this is a distinction, which we all comprehend.— There are none of us unconscious of certain feelings or fensations of mind, which do not feem to have passed thro'the Understanding; the effects, I suppose, of some secret influences from without, acting upon a certain mental fense, and producing feelings and passions in just correspondence to the force and variety of those influences on the one hand, and to the quickness of our sensibility on the other. Be the cause, however, what it may, the fact is undoubtedly so; which is all I am concerned in. And it is equally a fact, which every man's experience may avouch, that the Understanding and those feelings are frequently

at variance. The latter often arise from the most minute circumstances, and frequently from such as the Understanding cannot estimate, or even recognize; whereas the Understanding delights in abstraction, and in general propositions; which, however true considered as such, are very seldom, I had like to have said never, perfectly applicable to any particular case. And hence, among other causes, it is, that we often condemn or applaud characters and actions on the credit of some logical process, while our hearts revolt, and would sain lead us to a very different conclusion.

The Understanding seems for the most part to take cognizance of actions only, and from these to infer motives and character; but the sense we have been speaking of proceeds in a contrary course; and determines of actions from certain first principles of character, which seem wholly out of the reach of the Understanding. We cannot indeed do otherwise than admit that there must

be distinct principles of character in every distinct individual: The manifest variety even in the minds of infants will oblige us to this. But what are these first principles of character? Not the objects, I am persuaded, of the Understanding; and yet we take as strong Impressions of them as if we could compare and affort them in a syllogism. We often love or hate at first fight; and indeed, in general, diflike or approve by some secret reference to these principles; and we judge even of conduct, not from any idea of abstract good or evil in the nature of actions, but by refering those actions to a supposed original character in the man himself. I do not mean that we talk thus; we could not indeed, if we would, explain ourselves in detail on this head; we can neither account for Impressions and pasfions, nor communicate them to others by words: Tones and looks will fometimes convey the passion strangely, but the Impression is incommunicable. The same causes may produce it indeed at the same time in many, but it is the separate possession of C 2 each,

each, and not in its nature transferable: It is an imperfect fort of instinct, and proportionably dumb.—We might indeed, if we chose it, candidly confess to one another, that we are greatly swayed by these feelings, and are by no means so rational in all points as we could wish; but this would be a betraying of the interests of that high faculty, the Understanding, which we so value ourselves upon, and which we more peculiarly call our own. This, we think, must not be; and fo we huddle up the matter, concealing it as much as possible, both from ourselves and others. In Books indeed, wherein character, motive, and action, are all alike subjected to the Understanding, it is generally a very clear case; and we make decisions compounded of them all: And thus we are willing to approve of Candide, tho' he kills my Lord the Inquisitor, and runs thro' the body the Baron of Thunder-ten-tronchk the fon of his patron, and the brother of his beloved Cunégonde: But in real life, I believe, my Lords the Judges would be apt to inform the Gentlemen of the Jury, that my Lord the Inquistor was ill killed; as Candide did not proceed on the urgency of the moment, but on the speculation only of future evil. And indeed this clear perception, in Novels and Plays, of the union of character and action not seen in nature, is the principal defect of such compositions, and what renders them but ill pictures of human life, and wretched guides of conduct.

But if there was one man in the world, who could make a more perfect draught of real nature, and steal such Impressions on his audience, without their special notice, as should keep their hold in spite of any error of their Understanding, and should thereupon venture to introduce an apparent incongruity of character and action, for ends which I shall presently endeavour to explain; such an imitation would be worth our nicest curiosity and attention. But in such a case as this, the reader might expect that he should find us all talking the language of the Understanding

ftanding only; that is, censuring the action with very little conscientious investigation even of that; and transferring the censure, in every odious colour, to the actor himself; how much soever our hearts and affections might secretly revolt: For as to the Impression, we have already observed that it has no tongue; nor is its operation and influence likely to be made the subject of conference and communication.

It is not to the Courage only of Falftaff that we think these observations will apply: No part whatever of his character seems to be fully settled in our minds; at least there is something strangely incongruous in our discourse and affections concerning him. We all like Old Jack; yet, by some strange perverse fate, we all abuse him, and deny him the possession of any one single good or respectable quality. There is something extraordinary in this: It must be a strange art in Shakespeare which can draw our liking and good will towards so offensive an object. He has wit, it will be said; chearfulness and humour of the most characteristic and captivating

fort. And is this enough? Is the humour and gaiety of vice so very captivating? Is the wit, characteristic of baseness and every ill quality capable of attaching the heart and winning the affections? Or does not the apparency of fuch humour, and the flashes of such wit, by more strongly disclosing the deformity of character, but the more effectually excite our hatred and contempt of the man? And yet this is not our feeling of Falstaff's character. When he has ceased to amuse us, we find no emotions of disgust; we can scarcely forgive the ingratitude of the Prince in the new-born virtue of the King, and we curse the feverity of that poetic justice which configns our old good-natured delightful companion to the custody of the warden, and the dishonours of the Fleet.

I am willing, however, to admit that if a Dramatic writer will but preferve to any character the qualities of a strong mind, particularly Courage and ability, that it will be afterwards no very difficult task (as I may have occasion to explain)

plain) to discharge that disgust which arises from vicious manners; and even to attach us (if such character should contain any quality productive of chearfulness and laughter) to the cause and subject of our mirth with some degree of affection.

But the question which I am to consider is of a very different nature: It is a question of fact, and concerning a quality which forms the basis of every respectable character; a quality which is the very essence of a Military man; and which is held up to us, in almost every Comic incident of the Play, as the subject of our observation. It is strange then that it should now be a question, whether Falstaff is, or is not a man of Courage: and whether we do in fact contemn him for the want, or respect him for the possession of that quality: And yet I believe the reader will find that he has by no means decided this question, even for himself.—If then it should turn out. that this difficulty has arisen out of the Art of Shake[peare

Shakespeare, who has contrived to make secret Impressions upon us of Courage, and to preserve those Impressions in favour of a character which was to be held up for sport and laughter on account of actions of apparent Cowardice and dishonour, we shall have less occasion to wonder, as Shakespeare is a Name which contains All of Dramatic artisice and genius.

If in this place the reader shall peevishly and prematurely object that the observations and distinctions I have laboured to establish, are wholly unapplicable; he being himself unconscious of ever having received any such Impression; what can be done in so nice a case, but to refer him to the following pages; by the number of which he may judge how very much I respect his objection, and by the variety of those proofs, which I shall employ to induce him to part with it; and to recognize in its stead certain feelings, concealed and covered over perhaps, but not erazed, by time, reasoning, and authority.

In the mean while, it may not perhaps be easy for him to resolve how it comes about, that, whilst we look upon Falstaff as a character of the like nature with that of Parolles or of Bobadil, we should preserve for him a great degree of respect and good-will, and yet feel the highest disdain and contempt of the others, tho' they are all involved in fimilar fituations. The reader, I believe, would wonder extremely to find either Parolles or Bobadil possess himself in danger: What then can be the cause that we are not at all furprized at the gaiety and ease of Falstaff under the most trying circumstances; and that we never think of charging Shakespeare with departing, on this account, from the truth and coherence of character? Perhaps, after all, the real character of Fulftaff may be different from his apparent one; and possibly this difference between reality and appearance, whilst it accounts at once for our liking and our censure, may be the true point of humour in the character, and the fource of all our laughter and delight. We

may chance to find, if we will but examine a little into the nature of those circumstances which have accidentally involved him, that he was intended to be drawn as a character of much Natural courage and refolution; and be obliged thereupon to repeal those decisions, which may have been made upon the credit of some general tho' unapplicable propositions; the common fource of error in other and higher matters. A little reflection may perhaps bring us round again to the point of our departure, and unite our Understandings to our instinct.—Let us then for a moment suspend at least our decisions, and candidly and coolly inquire if Sir John Falstaff be, indeed, what he has fo often been called by critic and commentator, male and female,—a Constitutional Coward.

It will scarcely be possible to consider the Courage of Falsaff as wholly detached from his other qualities: But I write not professedly of any part of his character, but what is included under the

term, Courage; however I may incidentally throw some lights on the whole.—The reader will not need to be told that this Inquiry will resolve itself of course into a Critique on the genius, the arts, and the conduct of Shakespeare: For what is Falstaff, what Lear, what Hamlet, or Othello, but different modifications of Shakespeare's thought? It is true that this Inquiry is narrowed almost to a single point: But general criticism is as uninstructive as it is easy: Shakespeare deserves to be considered in detail;—a task hitherto unattempted.

It may be proper, in the first place, to take a short view of all the parts of Falstaff's Character, and then proceed to discover, if we can, what Impressions, as to Courage or Cowardice, he had made on the persons of the Drama: After which we will examine, in course, such evidence, either of persons or fasts, as are relative to the matter; and account as we may for those appearances, which seem to have led to the opinion of his Constitutional Cowardice.

The scene of the robbery, and the disgraces attending it, which stand first in the Play, and introduce us to the knowledge of Falstaff, I shall beg leave (as I think this scene to have been the source of much unreasonable prejudice) to reserve till we are more fully acquainted with the whole character of Falstaff; and I shall therefore hope that the reader will not for a time advert to it, or to the jests of the Prince or of Poins in consequence of that unlucky adventure.

In drawing out the parts of Falfaff's character, with which I shall begin this Inquiry, I shall take the liberty of putting Constitutional bravery into his composition; but the reader will be pleased to consider what I shall say in that respect as spoken hypothetically for the present, to be retained, or discharged out of it, as he shall sinally determine.

To me then it appears that the leading quality in Falftaff's character, and that from which all the rest take their colour, is a high degree of wit

C

and humour, accompanied with great natural vigour and alacrity of mind. This quality fo accompanied, led him probably very early into life, and made him highly acceptable to fociety; fo acceptable, as to make it feem unnecessary for him to acquire any other virtue. Hence, perhaps, his continued debaucheries and diffipations of every kind.—He feems, by nature, to have had a mind free of malice or any evil principle; but he never took the trouble of acquiring any good one. He found himself esteemed and beloved with all his faults; nay for his faults, which were all connected with humour, and for the most part, grew out of it. As he had, possibly, no vices but fuch as he thought might be openly professed, so he appeared more dissolute thro' oftentation. To the character of wit and humour, to which all his other qualities feem to have conformed themselves, he appears to have added a very necessary support, that of the profession of a Soldier. He had from nature, as I presume to say, a spirit of boldness and enterprise; which in a Military age, tho' employment was only occasional, kept him always above contempt, secured him an honourable reception among the Great, and fuited best both with his particular mode of humour and of vice. Thus living continually in fociety, nay even in Taverns, and indulging himself, and being indulged by others, in every debauchery; drinking, whoring, gluttony, and ease; assuming a liberty of fiction, necessary perhaps to his wit, and often falling into falfity and lies, he feems to have fet, by degrees, all fober reputation at defiance; and finding eternal refources in his wit, he borrows, shifts, defrauds, and even robs, without difhonour.—Laughter and approbation attend his greatest excesses; and being governed visibly by no fettled bad principle or ill defign, fun and humour account for and cover all. By degrees, however, and thro' indulgence, he acquires bad habits, becomes an humourist, grows enormously corpulent, and falls into the infirmities of age; yet never quits, all the time, one fingle levity or vice of youth, or loses any of that chearfulness of

 C_2

mind,

mind, which had enabled him to pass thro' this course with ease to himself and delight to others; and thus, at last, mixing youth and age, enterprize and corpulency, wit and folly, poverty and expence, title and bustoonery, innocence as to purpose, and wickedness as to practice; neither incurring hatred by bad principle, or contempt by Cowardice, yet involved in circumstances productive of imputation in both; a butt and a wit, a humourist and a man of humour, a touchstone and a laughing stock, a jester and a jest, has Sir John Falstaff, taken at that period of his life in which we see him, become the most perfect Comic character that perhaps ever was exhibited.

It may not possibly be wholly amiss to remark in this place, that if Sir John Falstaff had possessed any of that Cardinal quality, Prudence, alike the guardian of virtue and the protector of vice; that quality, from the possession or the absence of which, the character and fate of men in this life take, I think, their colour, and not from real vice or virtue; if he had considered his wit not as principal but accessary only; as the instrument of

power, and not as power itself; if he had had much baseness to hide, if he had had less of what may be called mellowness or good humour, or less of health and spirit; if he had spurred and rode the world with his wit, instead of suffering the world, boys and all, to ride him;—he might, without any other essential change, have been the admiration and not the jest of mankind: -Or if he had lived in our day, and instead of attaching himself to one Prince, had renounced all friendship and all attachment, and had let himself out as the ready instrument and Zany of every succesfive Minister, he might possibly have acquired the high honour of marking his shroud or decorating his coffin with the living rays of an Irish at least, if not a British Coronet: Instead of which, tho' enforcing laughter from every dispofition, he appears, now, as fuch a character, which every wife man will pity and avoid, every knave will cenfure, and every fool will fear: And accordingly Shakespeare, ever true to nature, has made Harry desert, and Lancaster censure him: —He dies where he lived, in a Tavern, brokenhearted, without a friend; and his final exit is given up to the derifion of fools. Nor has his misfortunes ended here; the scandal arising from the misapplication of his wit and talents seems immortal. He has met with as little justice or mercy from his final judges the critics, as from his companions of the Drama. With our cheeks still red with laughter, we ungratefully as unjustly censure him as a coward by nature, and a rascal upon principle: Tho', if this were so, it might be hoped, for our own credit, that we should behold him rather with disgust and disapprobation than with pleasure and delight.

But to remember our question—Is Falstaff a constitutional coward?

With respect to every infirmity, except that of Cowardice, we must take him as at the period in which he is represented to us. If we see him dissipated, fat,—it is enough;—we have nothing to do with his youth, when he might perhaps have

have been modest, chaste, "and not an Eagle's talon in the waist." But Constitutional Courage extends to a man's whole life, makes a part of his nature, and is not to be taken up or deserted like a mere Moral quality. It is true, there is a Courage founded upon principle, or rather a principle independent of Courage, which will fometimes operate in spite of nature; a principle, which prefers death to shame, but which always refers itself, in conformity to its own nature, to the prevailing modes of honour, and the fashions of the age.—But Natural courage is another thing: It is independent of opinion; It adapts itself to occasions, preserves itself under every shape, and can avail itself of flight as well as of action.—In the last war, some Indians of America perceiving a line of Highlanders to keep their station under every disadvantage, and under a fire which they could not effectually return, were so miserably mistaken in our points of honour as to conjecture, from observation on the habit and D 2 C₄ **stability**

stability of those troops, that they were indeed the women of England, who wanted courage to run away.—That Courage which is founded in nature and constitution, Falstaff, as I presume to fay, possessed;—but I am ready to allow, that the principle already mentioned, fo far as it refers to reputation only, began with every other Moral quality to lofe its hold on him in his old age; that is, at the time of life in which he is represented to us; a period, as it should seem, approaching to feventy.—The truth is that he had drollery enough to support himself in credit without the point of honour, and had address enough to make even the preservation of his life a point of drollery. The reader knows I allude, tho' fomething prematurely, to his fictitious death in the battle of Shrewsbury. This incident is generally construed to the disadvantage of Falstaff: It is a transaction which bears the external marks of Cowardice: It is also aggravated to the spectators by the idle tricks of the Player, who practifes

on this occasion all the attitudes and wild apprehensions of fear; more ambitious, as it should feem, of representing a Caliban than a Falltaff; or indeed rather a poor unweildy miserable Tortoise than either.—The painful Comedian lies fpread out on his belly, and not only covers himfelf all over with his robe as with a shell, but forms a kind of round Tortoife-back by I know not what stuffing or contrivance; in addition to which, he alternately lifts up, and depresses, and dodges his head, and looks to the one fide and to the other, so much with the piteous aspect of that animal, that one would not be forry to fee the ambitious imitator calipashed in his robe, and served up for the entertainment of the gallery.—There is no hint for this mummery in the Play: Whatever there may be of dishonour in Falstaff's conduct, he neither does or fays any thing on this occasion which indicates terror or disorder of mind: On the contrary, this very act is a proof of his having all his wits about him, and is a stratagem, such as it is, not improper for a huffoon

buffoon, whose fate would be fingularly hard, if he should not be allowed to avail himself of his Character when it might ferve him in most stead. We must remember, in extenuation, that the executive, the destroying hand of Douglas was over him: "It was time to counterfeit, or that "hot termagant Scot had paid him scot and lot too." He had but one choice; he was obliged to pass thro' the ceremony of dying either in jest or in earnest; and we shall not be surprized at the event, when we remember his propenfities to the former.—Life (and especially the life of Falstaff) might be a jest; but he could see no joke whatever in dying: To be chopfallen was, with him, to lose both life and character together: He faw the point of honour, as well as every thing elfe, in ridiculous lights, and began to renounce its tyranny.

But I am too much in advance, and must retreat for more advantage. I should not forget how much opinion is against me, and that I am to make my way by the mere force and weight weight of evidence; without which I must not hope to possess myself of the reader: No address, no infinuation will avail. To this evidence, then, I now refort. The Courage of Falstaff is my Theme: And no passage will I spare from which any thing can be inferred as relative to this point. It would be as vain as injudicious to attempt concealment: How could I escape detection? The Play is in every one's memory, and a fingle paffage remembered in detection would tell, in the mind of the partial observer, for fifty times its real weight. Indeed this argument would be void of all excuse if it declined any difficulty; if it did not meet, if it did not challenge opposition. Every passage then shall be produced from which, in my opinion, any inference, favourable or unfavourable, has or can be drawn;—but not methodically, not formally, as texts for comment, but as chance or convenience shall lead the way; but in what shape soever, they shall be always diffinguishingly marked for notice. And so with

with that attention to truth and candour which ought to accompany even our lightest amusements I proceed to offer such proof as the case will admit, that *Courage* is a part of *Falsaff*'s *Character*, that it belonged to his constitution, and was manifest in the conduct and practice of his whole life.

Let us then examine, as a fource of very authentic information, what Impressions Sir John Falsaff had made on the characters of the Drama; and in what estimation he is supposed to stand with mankind in general as to the point of Personal Courage. But the quotations we make for this or other purposes, must, it is confessed, be lightly touched, and no particular passage strongly relied on, either in his favour or against him. Every thing which he himself says, or is said of him, is so phantastically discoloured by humour, or folly, or jest, that we must for the most part look to the spirit rather than the letter of what

is uttered, and rely at last only on a combination of the whole.

We will begin then, if the reader pleases, by inquiring what Impression the very Vulgar had taken of Falstaff. If it is not that of Cowardice, be it what else it may, that of a man of violence, or a Ruffian in years, as Harry calls him, or any thing elfe, it answers my purpose; how infignificant foever the characters or incidents to be first produced may otherwise appear; -for these Impressions must have been taken either from personal knowledge and observation; or, what will do better for my purpose, from common fame. Altho' I must admit some part of this evidence will appear so weak and trifling that it certainly ought not to be produced but in proof Impression only.

The Hostess Quickly employs two officers to arrest Falsaff: On the mention of his name, one of them immediately observes, "that it may chance to cost some

"of them their lives, for that he will stab.—Alas a day," fays the hostes, "take heed of him, he cares not "what mischief he doth; if his weapon be out he will "foin like any devil; He will spare neither man, "woman, or child." Accordingly, we find that when they lay hold on him he refifts to the utmost of his power, and calls upon Bardolph, whose arms are at liberty, to draw. "Away, varlets, draw "Bardolph, cut me off the villain's head, throw the quean in the kennel." The officers cry, a rescue, a rescue! But the Chief Justice comes in and the scuffle ceases. In another scene, his wench Doll Tearsheet asks him "when he will leave fighting ***** and patch up his old body for heaven." This is occasioned by his drawing his rapier, on great provocation, and driving Piftol, who is drawn likewise, down stairs, and hurting him in the shoulder. To drive Pistol was no great feat; nor do I mention it as fuch; but upon this occasion it was necessary. "A Rascal bragging slave, fays he, "the rogue fled from me like quickfilver." Expressions, which as they remember the cowardice ardice of *Piftol*, feem to prove that *Falftaff* did not value himself on the adventure. Even something may be drawn from *Davy*, *Shallow's* serving man, who calls *Falftaff*, in ignorant admiration, the *man of war*. I must observe here, and I beg the reader will notice it, that there is not a single expression dropt by these people, or either of *Falstaff*'s followers, from which may be inferred the least suspicion of Cowardice in his character; and this is I think such an *implied negation* as deserves considerable weight.

But to go a little higher, if, indeed, to confider Shallow's opinion be to go higher: It is from him, however, that we get the earliest account of Falstass. He remembers him a Page to Thomas Mowbray Duke of Norfolk: "He broke, says he, "Schoggan's head at the Court-Gate when he was "but a crack thus high." Shallow, throughout, considers him as a great Leader and Soldier, and relates this sact as an early indication only of his future Prowess. Shallow it is true, is a very ridiculous

culous character; but he picked up these Impressions somewhere; and he picked up none of a contrary tendency.—I want at present only to prove that Falsaff stood well in the report of common same as to this point; and he was now near seventy years of age, and had passed in a Military line thro' the active part of his life. At this period common same may be well considered as the seal of his character; a seal which ought not perhaps to be broke open on the evidence of any subtraction.

But to proceed. Lord Bardolph was a man of the world, and of sense and observation. He informs Northumberland, erroneously indeed, that Percy had beaten the King at Shrewsbury. "The King," according to him, "was wounded; the "Prince of Wales and the two Blunts slain, certain "Nobles, whom he names, had escaped by slight, and "the Brawn Sir John Falstaff was taken prisoner." But how came Falstaff into this list? Common same had put him there. He is singularly obli-

١,

ged to Common fame.—But if he had not been a Soldier of repute, if he had not been brave as well as fat, if he had been mere brawn, it would have been more germane to the matter if this lord had put him down among the baggage or the provender. The fact feems to be, that there is a real consequence about Sir John Falstaff which is not brought forward: We see him only in his familiar hours; we enter the tavern with Hal and Poins; we join in the laugh and take a pride to gird at him: But there may be a great deal of truth in what he himself writes to the Prince, that tho' he be "Jack Falstaff with his Familiars, he is Sir John with the rest of Europe." It has been remarked, and very truly I believe, that no man is a hero in the eye of his valet-de-chambre; and thus it is, we are witnesses only of Falstaff's weakness and buffoonery; our acquaintance is with Jack Falstaff, Plump Jack, and Sir John Paunch; but if we would look for Sir John Falftaff, we must put on, as Bunyan would have expressed it, the spectacles of observation. With respect, for instance,

D

to his Military command at Shrewsbury, nothing appears on the furface but the Prince's familiarly faying, in the tone usually assumed when speaking of Falstaff, "I will procure this fat rogue a Charge "of foot;" and in another place, "I will procure "thee Jack a Charge of foot; meet me to-morrow in the "Temple Hall." Indeed we might venture to infer from this, that a Prince of so great ability, whose wildness was only external and assumed, would not have procured, in so nice and critical a conjuncture, a Charge of foot for a known Coward. But there was more it feems in the case: We now find from this report, to which Lord Bardolph had given full credit, that the world had its eye upon Falstaff as an officer of merit, whom it expected to find in the field, and whose fate in the battle was an object of Public concern: His life was, it feems, very material indeed; a thread of fo much dependence, that fiction, weaving the fates of Princes, did not think it unworthy, how coarse soever, of being made a part of the tiffue.

We shall next produce the evidence of the Chief Justice of England. He inquires of his attendant, " if the man who was then passing him was "Falstaff; he who was in question for the robbery." The attendant answers affirmatively, but reminds his lord "that he had fince done good fervice at Shrew/bury;" and the Chief Justice, on this occasion, rating him for his debaucheries, tells him "that his day's service at Shrewsbury had gilded over "his night's exploit at Gads Hill." This is furely more than Common fame: The Chief Justice must have known his whole character taken together, and must have received the most authentic information, and in the truest colours, of his behaviour in that action.

But, perhaps, after all, the Military men may be esteemed the best judges in points of this nature. Let us hear then Coleville of the dale, a Soldier, in degree a Knight, a famous rebel, and "whose betters, had they been ruled by him, would have sold "themselves dearer:" A man who is of consequence

enough to be guarded by Blunt and led to present execution. This man yields himself up even to the very Name and Reputation of Falstaff. "I think," fays he, "you are Sir John Falstaff, and in that thought "yield me." But this is but one only among the men of the fword; they shall be produced then by dozens, if that will fatisfy. Upon the return of the King and Prince Henry from Wales, the Prince feeks out and finds Falftaff debauching in a tavern; where Peto presently brings an account of ill news from the North; and adds, "that as he came along he met or overtook a dozen Captains, bare headed, sweating, knocking at the taverns, and asking every one for Sir John Falstaff. He is followed by Bardolph, who informs Falstaff that "He must away " to the Court immediately; a dozen Captains stay at "door for him." Here is Military evidence in abundance, and Court evidence too; for what are we to infer from Falstaff's being fent for to Court on this ill news, but that his opinion was to be asked, as a Military man of skill and experience, concerning the defences necessary to be taken. Nor is Shakespeare

Shake/peare content, here, with leaving us to gather up Falstaff's better character from inference and deduction: He comments on the fact by making Falstaff observe that "Men of merit are sought after: "The undeserver may sleep when the man of action is "called on." I do not wish to draw Falstaff's character out of his own mouth; but this observation refers to the fact, and is founded in reason. Nor ought we to reject, what in another place he fays to the Chief Justice, as it is in the nature of an appeal to his knowledge. "There is not a dan-"gerous action," fays he, "can peep out his head but I am thrust upon it." The Chief Justice seems by his answer to admit the fact. "Well, be honest, be honest, and heaven bless your expedition." But the whole passage may deserve transcribing.

Ch. Just. "Well, the King has severed you and Prince Henry. I hear you are going with Lord John of Lancaster, against the Archbishop and the Earl of Northumberland."

"Falf. Yes, I thank your pretty sweet wit for it; but " look you pray, all you that kifs my lady peace at home, " that our armies join not in a hot day; for I take but " two shirts out with me, and I mean not to sweat ex-"traordinarily: If it be a hot day, if I brandish any "thing but a bottle, would I might never spit white "again. There is not a dangerous action can peep "out his head but I am thrust upon it. Well I cannot "last for ever.—But it was always the trick of our "English nation, if they have a good thing to make it "too common. If you will needs fay I am an old man "you should give me rest: I would to God my name "were not so terrible to the enemy as it is. I were " better to be eaten to death with a rust than to be scour'd "to nothing with perpetual motion."

"Ch. Just. Well be honest, be honest, and heaven bless your expedition."

Falftaff indulges himself here in humourous exaggeration;—these passages are not meant to be taken, nor are we to suppose that they were taken

taken, literally; -- but if there was not a ground of truth, if Falstaff had not had such a degree of Military reputation as was capable of being thus humourously amplified and exaggerated, the whole dialogue would have been highly prepofterous and abfurd, and the acquiescing answer of the Lord Chief Justice fingularly improper.—But upon the supposition of Falstaff's being considered, upon the whole, as a good and gallant Officer, the answer is just, and corresponds with the acknowledgment which had a little before been made, "that his day's fervice at Shrewsbury had gilded "over his night's exploit at Gads Hill.—You may "thank the unquiet time, fays the Chief Justice, "for your quiet o'erposting of that action;" agreeing with what Falftaff fays in another place ;- "Well "God be thanked for these Rebels, they offend none but "the virtuous; I laud them, I praise them."—Whether this be faid in the true spirit of a Soldier or not, I do not determine; it is furely not in that of a mere Coward and Poltroon.

It will be needless to shew, which might be done from a variety of particulars, that Falftaff was known, and had confideration at Court. Shallow cultivates him in the idea that a friend at Court is better than a penny in purse: Westmorland speaks to him in the tone of an equal: Upon Falltaff's telling him, that he thought his lordship had been already at Shrewsbury, Westmorland replies,—Faith Sir John, 'tis more than time "that I were there, and you too; the King I can tell " you looks for us all; we must away all to night .--" Tut, says Falstaff, never fear me, I am as vigilant "as a cat to fleal cream."—He defires, in another place, of my lord John of Lancaster, "that when he goes to Court, he may stand in his good report." His intercourse and correspondence with both these lords seem easy and familiar. Go, says he to the page, "bear this to my Lord of Lancaster, this " to the Prince, this to the Earl of Westmorland, and "this (for he extended himself on all sides) to old Mrs. Urfula," whom it feems, the rogue ought to have married many years before.—But these intimations

intimations are needless: We see him ourselves in the Royal Presence; where, certainly, his buffooneries never brought him; nor was the Prince of a character to commit so high an indecorum, as to thrust, upon a solemn occasion, a mere Tavern companion into his father's Presence, especially in a moment when he himself deserts his looser character, and takes up that of a Prince indeed. -In a very important scene, where Worcester is expected with proposals from Percy, and wherein he is received, is treated with, and carries back offers of accomodation from the King, the King's attendants upon the occasion are the Prince of Wales, Lord John of Lancaster, the Earl of Westmorland, Sir Walter Blunt, and Sir John Fulftaff.—What shall be said to this? Falstaff is not furely introduced here in vicious indulgence to a mob audience; -he utters but one word, a buffoon one indeed, but afide and to the Prince only. Nothing, it should seem, is wanting, if decorum would here have permitted, but that he should have spoken one sober sentence in the Prefence

Presence (which yet we are to suppose him ready and able to do if occasion should have required; or his wit was given him to little purpose) and Sir John Falsaff might be allowed to pass for an established Courtier and counsellor of state. "If "I do grow great, says he, I'll grow less, purge and "leave sack, and live as a nobleman should do." Nobility did not then appear to him at an unmeasurable distance; it was, it seems, in his idea, the very next link in the chain.

But to return. I would now demand what could bring Falfaff into the Royal Presence upon such an occasion, or justify the Prince's so public acknowledgment of him, but an established same and reputation of Military merit? In short, just the like merit as brought Sir Walter Blunt into the same circumstances of honour.

But it may be objected that his introduction into this scene is a piece of indecorum in the author. But upon what ground are we to suppose

pose this? Upon the ground of his being a notorious Coward? Why this is the very point in question, and cannot be granted: Even the direct contrary I have affirmed, and am endeavouring to support. But if it be supposed upon any other ground, it does not concern me; I have nothing to do with Shakespeare's indecorums in general. That there are indecorums in the Play I have no doubt: The indecent treatment of Percy's dead body is the greatest;—the familiarity of the infignificant, rude, and even ill disposed Poins with the Prince, is another;—but the admission of Falltaff into the Royal Prefence (supposing, which I have a right to suppose, that his Military character was unimpeached) does not feem to be in any respect among the number. In camps there is but one virtue and one vice; Military merit fwallows up or covers all. But, after all, what have we to do with indecorums? Indecorums respect the propriety or impropriety of exhibiting certain actions; -not their truth or falshood when exhibited. Shakespeare stands to us in the place of truth and nature: If we defert this principle we cut the turf from under us; I may then object to the robbery and other passages as indecorums, and as contrary to the truth of character. In short we may rend and tear the Play to pieces, and every man carry off what sentences he likes best.—But why this inveterate malice against poor Falsaff? He has faults enough in conscience without loading him with the infamy of Cowardice; a charge, which, if true, would, if I am not greatly mistaken, spoil all our mirth.—But of that hereafter.

It feems to me that, in our hasty judgment of some particular transactions, we forget the circumstances and condition of his whole life and character, which yet deserve our very particular attention. The author, it is true, has thrown the most advantageous of these circumstances into the back ground as it were, and has brought nothing out of the canvass but his follies and bustoonery. We discover however, that in a very early period

of his life he was familiar with John of Gaunt; which could hardly be, unless he had possessed much personal gallantry and accomplishment, and had derived his birth from a distinguished at least, if not from a Noble family.

It may feem very extravagant to infift upon Falftaff's birth as a ground from which, by any inference, Personal courage may be derived, especially after having acknowledged that he feemed to have deferted those points of honour, which are more peculiarly the accompanyments of rank. But it may be observed that in the Feudal ages rank and wealth were not only connected with the point of honour, but with perfonal strength and natural courage. It is observable that Courage is a quality, which is at least as transmissible to one's posterity as features and complexion. In these periods men acquired and maintained their rank and possessions by personal prowefs and gallantry; and their marriage alliances were made, of course, in families of the fame

fame character: And from hence, and from the exercises of their youth, we must account for the distinguished force and bravery of our antient Barons. It is not therefore beside my purpose to inquire what hints of the origin and birth of Falstaff, Shakespeare may have dropped in different parts of the Play; for tho' we may be disposed to allow that Falstaff in his old age might, under particular influences, desert the point of honour, we cannot give up that unalienable possession of Courage, which might have been derived to him from a noble or distinguished stock.

But it may be said that Falstaff was in truth the child of invention only, and that a reference to the Feudal accidents of birth serves only to confound siction with reality: Not altogether so. If the ideas of Courage and birth were strongly affociated in the days of Shakespeare, then would the assignment of high birth to Falstaff carry, and be intended to carry along with it, to the minds of the audience the associated idea of Courage,

if nothing should be specially interposed to disfolve the connection;—and the question is as concerning this intention, and this effect.

I shall proceed yet farther to make a few very minute observations of the same nature: But if Shakespeare meant sometimes rather to impress than explain, no circumstances calculated to this end, either directly or byaffociation, are too minute for notice. But however this may be, a more conciliating reason still remains: The argument itfelf, like the tales of our Novelists, is a vehicle only; theirs, as they profess, of moral instruction; and mine of critical amusement. The vindication of Falstaff's Courage deserves not for its own sake the least sober discussion; Falstaff is the word only, Shakespeare is the Theme: And if thro' this channel, I can furnish no irrational amusement, the reader will not, perhaps, every where expect from me the strict severity of logical investigation.

Falftaff, then, it may be observed, was introduced into the world,—(at least we are told so)

by the name of Oldcastle.* This was affigning him an origin of nobility; but the family of that name disclaiming any kindred with his vices, he was thereupon, as it is said, ingrafted into another stock † scarcely less distinguished, tho' fallen into indelible disgraces; and by this means

* I believe the stage was in possession of some rude outline of Falsaff before the time of Shakespeare, under the name of Sir John Oldcastle; and I think it probable that this name was retained for a period in Shakespeare's Hen. 4th. but changed to Falsaff before the play was printed. The expression of "Old Lad of the Cassle," used by the Prince, does not however decidedly prove this; as it might have been only some known and familiar appellation too carelesty transferred from the old Play.

† I doubt if Shakespeare had Sir John Fastolfe in his memory when he called the character under consideration Fastaff. The title and name of Sir John were transferred from Oldcastle not Fastolfe, and there is no kind of similarity in the characters. If he had Fastolfe in his thought at all, it was that while he approached the name, he might make such a departure from it as the difference of character seemed to require.

he has been made, if the conjectures of certain critics are well founded, the Dramatic successor, tho', having respect to chronology, the natural proavus of another Sir John, who was no less than a Knight of the most noble order of the Garter, but a name for ever dishonoured by a frequent exposure in that Drum-and-trumpet Thing called The first part of Henry VI. written doubtless, or rather exhibited, long before Shakespeare was born,* tho' afterwards repaired, I think, and

* It would be no difficult matter I think to prove that all those Plays taken from the English chronicle, which are ascribed to Shakespeare, were on the stage before his time, and that he was employed by the Players only to resit and repair; taking due care to retain the names of the characters and to preserve all those incidents which were the most popular. Some of these Plays, particularly the two parts of Hen. IV. have certainly received what may be called a thorough repair; that is, Shakespeare new-wrote them to the old names. In the latter part of Hen. V. some of the old materials remain; and in the Play which I have here censured (Hen. VI.) we see very little of the new. I should conceive it would not be very difficult to feel one's

furbished up by him with here and there a little fentiment and diction. This family, if any branch

way thro' these Plays, and distinguish every where the metal from the clay. Of the two Plays of Hen. IV. there has been, I have admitted, a complete transmutation, preserving the old forms; but in the others, there is often no union or coalescence of parts, nor are any of them equal in merit to those Plays more peculiarly and emphatically Shakespeare's own. The reader will be pleased to think that I do not reckon into the works of Shakespeare certain absurd productions which his editors have been so good as to compliment him with. I object, and strenuously too, even to The Taming of the Shrew; not that it wants merit, but that it does not bear the peculiar seatures and stamp of Shakespeare.

The rhyming parts of the Historic plays are all, I think, of an older date than the times of Shakespeare.—There was a Play, I believe, of the Ass of King John, of which the bastard Falconbridge seems to have been the hero and the fool: He appears to have spoken altogether in rhyme. Shakespeare shews him to us in the latter part of the second scene in the first act of King John in this condition; tho' he afterwards, in the course of the Play, thought sit to adopt him, to give him language and manners, and to make him his own.

branch of it remained in *Shakefpeare*'s time, might have been proud of their Dramatic ally, if indeed they could have any fair pretence to claim as fuch *him* whom *Shakefpeare*, perhaps in contempt of Cowardice, wrote *Falftaff*, not *Faftolfe*, the true Historic name of the Gartered Craven.

In the age of Henry IV. a Family crest and arms were authentic proofs of gentility; and this proof, among others, Shakespeare has furnished us with: Falftaff always carried about him, it feems, a Seal ring of his Grandfather's worth, as he says, forty marks: The Prince indeed affirms, but not feriously I think, that this ring was copper. As to the existence of the bonds, which were I suppose the negotiable securities or paper-money of the time, and which he pretended to have loft, I have nothing to fay; but the ring, I believe, was really gold; tho' probably a little too much alloyed with baser metal. But this is not the point: The arms were doubtless genuine; they were borne by his Grandfather, and are proofs of an antient gentility; a gentility doubtless, in

E 2

former

former periods, connected with wealth and poffessions, tho' the gold of the family might have been transmuting by degrees, and perhaps, in the hands of Falstaff, converted into little better than copper. This observation is made on the supposition of Falstaff's being considered as the head of the family, which I think however he ought not to be. It appears rather as if he ought to be taken in the light of a cadet or younger brother; which the familiar appellation of John, "the only one (as he fays) given him by his bro-"thers and fifters," feems to indicate. Be this as it may, we find he is able, in spite of dissipation, to keep up a certain flate and dignity of appearance; retaining no less than four, if not five, followers or men fervants in his train. He appears also to have had apartments in town, and, by his invitations of Master Gower to dinner and to supper, a regular table: And one may infer farther from the Prince's question, on his return from Wales, to Bardolph, "Is your master here in London," that he had likewise a house in the country. Slight proofs

proofs it must be confessed, yet the inferences are so probable, so buoyant, in their own nature, that they may well rest on them. That he did not lodge at the Tavern is clear from the circumstances of the arrest. These various occafions of expence,-fervants, taverns, houses, and whores, -- necessarily imply that Falstaff must have had some funds which are not brought immediately under our notice. That these funds were not however adequate to his style of living is plain: Perhaps his train may be confidered only as incumbrances, which the pride of family and the habit of former opulence might have brought upon his prefent poverty: I do not mean absolute poverty, but call it so as relative to his expence. To have "but seven groats "and two-pence in his purse" and a page to bear it, is truly ridiculous; and it is for that reafon we become so familiar with its contents, "He "can find" he says, "no remedy for this consumption "of the purse, borrowing does but linger and linger "it out; but the difease is incurable." It might well be deemed so in his course of dissipation: But I

P.P. 800 F E 3

fhall

shall presently suggest one source at least of his fupply much more constant and honourable than that of borrowing. But the condition of Falstaff as to opulence or poverty is not very material to my purpose: It is enough if his birth was distinguished, and his youth noted for gallantry and accomplishments. To the first I have spoken, and as for the latter we shall not be at a loss when we remember that "he was in his youth a page to Thomas Mowbray Duke of Norfolk;" a fituation at that time fought for by young men of the best families and first fortune. The house of every great noble was at that period a kind of Military school; and it is probable that Falstaff was singularly adroit at his exercises: "He broke Schoggan's "head," (some boisterous fencer I suppose) "when he was but a crack thus high." Shallow remembers him as notedly skilful at backsword; and he was at that period, according to his own humourous account, " scarcely an eagle's talon in the " waift, and could have crept thro' an alderman's thumb "ring." Even at the age at which he is exhibited

to us, we find him foundering, as he calls it, nine score and odd miles, with wonderful expedition, to ioin the army of Prince John of Lancaster; and declaring after the furrender of Coleville, that " had he but a belly of any indifferency he were simply "the most active fellow in Europe." Nor ought we here to pass over his Knighthood without notice. It was, I grant, intended by the author as a dignity which, like his Courage and his wit, was to be debased; his knighthood by low situations, his Courage by circumstances and imputations of cowardice, and his wit by buffoonery. But how are we to suppose this honour was acquired? By that very Courage, it should seem, which we fo obstinately deny him. It was not certainly given him, like a modern City Knighthood, for his wealth or gravity: It was in these days a Military honour, and an authentic badge of Military merit.

But Falftaff was not only a Military Knight, he posses'd an honourable pension into the bargain; the reward as well as retainer of service, and which seems (besides the savours per-

haps of Mrs. Ursula) to be the principal and only folid support of his present expences. But let us refer to the passage. "A pox of this gout, or a gout " of this pox; for one or the other plays the rogue with "my great toe: It is no matter if I do halt, I have the "wars for my colour and my pension shall seem the more "reasonable." The mention Falstaff here makes of a pension, has I believe been generally confirued to refer rather to hope than possession, yet I know not why: For the possessive My, my pension (not a pension) requires a different construction. Is it that we cannot enjoy a wit, till we have stript him of every worldly advantage, and reduced him below the level of our envy? It may be perhaps for this reason among others that Shakespeare has so obscured the better parts of Falstaff and stolen them secretly on our feelings, instead of opening them fairly to the notice of our understandings. How carelesly, and thro' what bye-paths, as it were, of casual inference is this fact of a pension introduced! And how has he affociated it with misfortune and infirmity! Yet I question

I question, however, if, in this one place the Impression which was intended, be well and effectually made. It must be left to the reader to determine if in that mass of things out of which Falsaff is compounded, he ever considered a pension as any part of the composition: A pension however he appears to have had, one that halting could only seem to make more reasonable, not more honourable. The inference arising from the fact, I shall leave to the reader. It is surely a circumstance highly advantageous to Falsaff, (I speak of the pensions of former days) whether he be considered in the light of a soldier or a gentleman.

I cannot foresee the temper of the reader, nor whether he be content to go along with me in these kind of observations. Some of the incidents which I have drawn out of the Play may appear too minute, whilst yet they refer to principles, which may seem too general. Many points require explanation; something should be said of the nature of Shakespeare's Dramatic characters;

racters; * by what arts they were formed, and wherein they differ from those of other writers; fomething likewise more professedly of Shake-speare

* The reader must be sensible of something in the composition of Shakespeare's characters, which renders them essentially different from those drawn by other writers. The characters of every Drama must indeed be grouped; but in the groupes of other poets the parts which are not feen, do not in fact exist. But there is a certain roundness and integrity in the forms of Shake/peare, which give them an independence as well as a relation, infomuch that we often meet with passages, which tho' perfectly felt, cannot be sufficiently explained in words, without unfolding the whole character of the speaker: And this I may be obliged to do in respect to that of Lancaster, in order to account for some words spoken by him in centure of Falflaff.-Something which may be thought too heavy for the text, I shall add here, as a conjecture concerning the composition of Shakespeare's characters: Not that they were the effect, I believe, fo much of a minute and laborious attention, as of a certain comprehensive energy of mind, involving within itself all the effects of fystem and of labour.

fpeare himself, and of the peculiar character of his genius. After such a review we may not perhaps think any consideration arising out of the

Bodies of all kinds, whether of metals, plants, or animals, are supposed to possess certain first principles of being, and to have an existence independent of the accidents, which form their magnitude or growth: Those accidents are supposed to be drawn in from the furrounding elements, but not indifcriminately; each plant and each animal, imbibes those things only, which are proper to its own distinct nature, and which have besides such a secret relation to each other as to be capable of forming a perfect union and coalescence: But so variously are the surrounding elements mingled and disposed, that each particular body, even of those under the same species, has yet some peculiar of its own. Shakespeare appears to have confidered the being and growth of the human mind as analogous to this fystem: There are certain qualities and capacities, which he feems to have confidered as first principles; the chief of which are certain energies of courage and activity, according to their degrees; together with different degrees and forts of fensibilities, and a capacity, varying likewise in the degree, of discernment and intelligence. The rest of the composithe Play, or out of general nature, either as too minute or too extensive.

Shakespeare is in truth, an author whose mimic creation agrees in general so perfectly with that

tion is drawn in from an atmosphere of furrounding things; that is, from the various influences of the different laws, religions and governments in the world; and from those of the different ranks and inequalities in fociety; and from the different professions of men, encouraging or repressing passions of particular forts, and inducing different modes of thinking and habits of life; and he feems to have known intuitively what those influences in particular were which this or that original constitution would most freely imbibe, and which would most easily associate and coalesce. But all these things being, in different fituations, very differently disposed, and those differences exactly discerned by him, he found no difficulty in marking every individual, even among characters of the same fort, with fomething peculiar and distinct.-Climate and complexion demand their influence, "Be thus when thou art dead, and I will kill thee, and love thee after," is a fentiment characteristic of, and fit only to be uttered by a Moor.

of nature, that it is not only wonderful in the great, but opens another scene of amazement to the discoveries of the microscope. We have been charged indeed by a Foreign writer with an overmuch admiring of this *Barbarian*: Whether we have admired

But it was not enough for Shakespeare to have formed his characters with the most perfect truth and coherence; it was further necessary that he should possess a wonderful facility of compressing, as it were, his own spirit into these images, and of giving alternate animation to the forms. This was not to be done from without; he must have felt every varied situation, and have spoken thro' the organ he had formed. Such an intuitive comprehension of things and fuch a facility, must unite to produce a Shakespeare. The reader will not now be surprised if I affirm that those characters in Shakespeare, which are seen only in part, are yet capable of being unfolded and understood in the whole; every part being in fact relative, and inferring all the rest. It is true that the point of action or fentiment, which we are most concerned in, is always held out for our special notice. But who does not perceive that there is a peculiarity about it, which conveys a relish of the whole? And very frequently, when admired with knowledge, or have blindly followed those feelings of affection which we could not resist, I cannot tell; but certain it is, that to the labours of his Editors he has not been overmuch obliged. They are however for the most part of the first rank in literary fame; but some

of

when no particular point presses, he boldly makes a character act and speak from those parts of the composition, which are inferred only, and not distinctly thewn. This produces a wonderful effect; it feems to carry us beyond the poet to nature itself, and gives an integrity and truth to facts and character, which they could not otherwise obtain: And this is in reality that art in Shake/peare, which being withdrawn from our notice, we more emphatically call nature. A felt propriety and truth from causes unseen, I take to be the highest point of Poetic composition. If the characters of Shakespeare are thus whole, and as it were original, while those of almost all other writers are mere imitation, it may be fit to confider them rather as Historic than Dramatic beings; and, when occasion requires, to account for their conduct from the whole of character, from general principles, from latent motives, and from policies not avowed.

of them had possessions of their own in Parnasfus, of an extent too great and important to allow of a very diligent attention to the interests of others; and among those Critics more professionally so, the ablest and the best has unfortunately looked more to the praise of ingenious than of just conjecture. The character of his emendations are not so much that of right or wrong, as that, being in the extreme, they are always Warburtonian. Another has fince undertaken the custody of our author, whom he feems to confider as a fort of wild Proteus or madman, and accordingly knocks him down with the butt-end of his critical staff, as often as he exceeds that line of fober discretion, which this learned Editor appears to have chalked out for him: Yet is this Editor notwithstanding "a man take him for all in all," very highly respectable for his genius and his learning. What however may be chiefly complained of in these gentlemen is, that having erected themselves into the condition, as it were, of guardians and truffees trustees of Shakespeare, they have never undertaken to discharge the disgraceful incumbrances of some wretched productions, which have long hung heavy on his same. Besides the evidence of taste, which indeed is not communicable, there are yet other and more general proofs that these incumbrances were not incurred by Shakespeare: The Latin sentences dispersed thro' the imputed trash is, I think, of itself a decisive one. Love's Labour lost contains a very conclusive one of another kind; tho' the very last Editor has, I believe, in his critical sagacity, suppressed the evidence, and withdrawn the record.

Yet whatever may be the neglect of some, or the censure of others, there are those, who sirmly believe that this wild, this uncultivated Barbarian, has not yet obtained one half of his same; and who trust that some new Stagyrite will arise, who instead of pecking at the surface of things will enter into the inward soul of his compositions, and expel by the force of congenial feelings

feelings, those foreign impurities which have stained and disgraced his page. And as to those foots which will still remain, they may perhaps become invisible to those who shall seek them thro' the medium of his beauties, instead of looking for those beauties, as is too frequently done, thro' the smoke of some real or imputed obscurity. When the hand of time shall have brushed off his present Editors and Commentators, and when the very name of Voltaire, and even the memory of the language in which he has written, shall be no more, the Apalachian mountains, the banks of the Ohio, and the plains of Sciota shall resound with the accents of this Barbarian: In his native tongue he shall roll the genuine passions of nature; nor shall the griefs of Lear be alleviated, or the charms and wit of Rosalind be abated by time. There is indeed nothing perishable about him, except that very learning which he is said so much to want. He had not, it is true, enough for the demands of the age in which he lived, but he had perhaps too much for the reach

F

of his genius, and the interest of his fame. Milton and he will carry the decayed remnants and fripperies of antient mythology into more distant ages than they are by their own force intitled to extend; and the metamorphoses of Ovid, upheld by them, lay in a new claim to unmerited immortality.

Shakespeare is a name so interesting, that it is excusable to stop a moment, nay it would be indecent to pass him without the tribute of some admiration. He differs essentially from all other writers: Him we may profess rather to feel than to understand; and it is safer to say, on many occasions, that we are possessed by him, than that we possess him. And no wonder;—He scatters the seeds of things, the principles of character and action, with so cunning a hand yet with so careless an air, and, master of our feelings, submits himself so little to our judgment, that every thing seems superior. We discern not his course, we see no connection of cause and es-

fect, we are rapt in ignorant admiration, and claim no kindred with his abilities. All the incidents, all the parts, look like chance, whilft we feel and are fenfible that the whole is defign. His Characters not only act and speak in strict conformity to nature, but in strict relation to us; just so much is shewn as is requifite, just fo much is impressed; he commands every passage to our heads and to our hearts, and moulds us as he pleases, and that with so much ease, that he never betrays his own exertions. We see these Characters act from the mingled motives of passion, reason, interest, habit and complection, in all their proportions, when they are supposed to know it not themselves; and we are made to acknowledge that their actions and fentiments are, from those motives, the necessary result. He at once blends and distinguishes every thing; every thing is complicated, every thing is plain. I restrain the further expressions of my admiration left they should not seem applicable

to man; but it is really aftonishing that a mere human being, a part of humanity only, should so perfectly comprehend the whole; and that he should possess such exquisite art, that whilst every woman and every child shall feel the whole effect, his learned Editors and Commentators should yet so very frequently mistake or seem ignorant of the cause. A sceptre or a straw are in his hands of equal efficacy; he needs no felection; he converts every thing into excellence; nothing is too great, nothing is too base. Is a character efficient like Richard, it is every thing we can wish: Is it otherwise, like Hamlet, it is productive of equal admiration: Action produces one mode of excellence and inaction another: The Chronicle, the Novel, or the Ballad; the king, or the beggar, the hero, the madman, the fot or the fool; it is all one; -nothing is worse, nothing is better: The same genius pervades and is equally admirable in all. Or, is a character to be shewn in progressive change, and the events

of years comprized within the hour; -with what a Magic hand does he prepare and scatter his spells! The Understanding must, in the first place, be fubdued; and lo! how the rooted prejudices of the child spring up to confound the man! The Weird fifters rife, and order is extinguished. The laws of nature give way, and leave nothing in our minds but wildness and horror. No pause is allowed us for reflection: Horrid fentiment, furious guilt and compunction, air-drawn daggers, murders, ghofts, and inchantment, shake and possess us wholly. In the mean time the process is completed. Macbeth changes under our eye, the milk of human kindness is converted to gall; he has supped full of horrors, and his May of life is fallen into the fear, the yellow leaf; whilst we, the fools of amazement, are insensible to the shifting of place and the lapse of time, and till the curtain drops, never once wake to the truth of things, or recognize the laws of existence.— On fuch an occasion, a fellow, like Rymer, F 3 waking P.P. 800 G

waking from his trance, shall lift up his Constable's staff, and charge this great Magician, this daring practicer of arts inhibited, in the name of Aristotle, to surrender; whilst Aristotle himfelf, difowning his wretched Officer, would fall proftrate at his feet and acknowledge his fupremacy.—O fupreme of Dramatic excellence! (might he fay,) not to me be imputed the infolence of fools. The bards of Greece were confined within the narrow circle of the Chorus, and hence they found themselves constrained to practice, for the most part, the precision, and copy the details of nature. I followed them, and knew not that a larger circle might be drawn, and the Drama extended to the whole reach of human genius. Convinced, I fee that a more compendious nature may be obtained; a nature of effects only, to which neither the relations of place, or continuity of time, are always effential. Nature, condescending to the faculties and apprehensions of man, has drawn through through human life a regular chain of visible causes and effects: But Poetry delights in surprize, conceals her steps, seizes at once upon the heart, and obtains the Sublime of things without betraying the rounds of her ascent: True Poesy is magic, not nature; an effect from causes hidden or unknown. To the Magician I prescribed no laws; his law and his power are one; his power is his law. Him, who neither imitates, nor is within the reach of imitation, no precedent can or ought to bind, no limits to contain. If his end is obtained, who shall question his course? Means, whether apparent or hidden, are justified in Poefy by fuccess; but then most perfect and most admirable when most concealed *.-But F 4 whither

^{*} These observations have brought me so near to the regions of Poetic magic, (using the word here in its strict and proper sense, and not loosely as in the text) that the they lie not directly in my course, I yet may

whither am I going! This copious and delightful topic has drawn me far beyond my defign: I hasten back to my subject, and am guarded, for a time at least, against any further temptation to digress.

I was

be allowed in this place to point the reader that way. A felt propriety, or truth of art, from an unfeen, tho' supposed adequate cause, we call nature. A like feeling of propriety and truth, supposed without a cause, or as feeming to be derived from causes inadequate, fantastic, and absurd,-fuch as wands, circles, incantations, and fo forth,-we call by the general name magic, including all the train of fuperstition, witches, ghosts, fairies, and the rest.—Reason is confined to the line of visible existence; our passions and our fancy extend far beyond into the obscure; but however lawless their operations may feem, the images they fo wildly form have yet a relation to truth, and are the shadows at least, however fantastic, of reality. I am not investigating but passing this subject, and must therefore leave behind me much curious speculation. Of Personifications however we should observe that those which are made out of abstract ideas are the creatures of the Understanding only: Thus, of the mixed

I was confidering the dignity of Falfaff so far as it might seem connected with, or productive of military merit, and I have assigned him reputation at least, if not fame, noble connection, birth, attendants, title, and an honourable

mixed modes, virtue, beauty, wildom and others,what are they but very obscure ideas of qualities considered as abstracted from any subject whatever? The mind cannot fleadily contemplate fuch an abstraction: What then does it do?-Invent or imagine a fubject in order to support these qualities; and hence we get the Nymphs or Goddesses of virtue, of beauty, or of wifdom; the very obfcurity of the ideas being the caufe of their conversion into sensible objects, with precision both of feature and of form. But as reason has its personifications, so has passion.--Every passion has its Object, tho' often distant and obscure; -- to be brought nearer then, and rendered more distinct, it is personified; and Fancy fantaflically decks, or aggravates the form, and adds "a local habitation and a name." But pafsion is the dupe of its own artifice and realifes the image it had formed. The Grecian theology was mixed of both these kinds of personification. Of the images produced by passion it must be observed that they are the

nourable pension; every one of them presumptive proofs of Military merit, and motives of action. What deduction is to be made on these articles, and why they are so much obscured may, perhaps, hereafter appear.

I have

the images, for the most part, not of the passions themselves, but of their remote effects. Guilt looks through the medium, and beholds a devil; fear, spectres of every fort; hope, a smiling cherub; malice and envy fee hags, and witches, and inchanters dire; whilst the innocent and the young, behold with fearful delight the tripping fairy, whose shadowy form the moon gilds with its foftest beams,--Extravagant as all this appears, it has its laws fo precife that we are fensible both of a local and temporary, and of an universal magic; the first derived from the general nature of the human mind, influenced by particular habits, institutions, and climate; and the latter from the same general nature abstracted from those considerations: Of the first fort the machinery in Macbeth is a very striking instance; a machinery, which, however exquifite at the time, has already lost more than half its force; and the Gallery now laughs in fome places where it ought to shudder:-But the magic of the Tempest is lasting and universal.

There

I have now gone through the examination of all the persons of the Drama from whose mouths any thing can be drawn relative to the Courage of Falstaff, excepting the Prince and Poins, whose evidence I have begged leave to reserve, and

There is besides a species of writing for which we have no term of art, and which holds a middle place between nature and magic; I mean where fancy either alone, or mingled with reason, or reason assuming the appearance of fancy, governs some real existence; but the whole of this art is pourtrayed in a fingle Play; in the real madness of Lear, in the assumed wildness of Edgar, and in the Professional Fantasque of the Fool, all operating to contrast and heighten each other. There is yet another feat in this kind, which Shakespeare has performed;—he has personified malice in his Caliban; a character kneaded up of three diftinct natures, the diabolical, the human, and the brute. The rest of his preternatural beings are images of effects only, and cannot subsist but in a surrounding atmosphere of those passions, from which they are derived. Caliban is the passion itself, or rather a compound of malice, fervility, and lust, fubstantiated; and therefore best shewn in contrast with the lightness of Ariel and excepting a very severe censure passed on him by Lord John of Lancaster, which I shall presently consider: But I must first observe, that setting aside the jests of the Prince and Poins, and this censure of Lancaster, there is not one expression

Ariel and the innocence of Miranda,-Witches are fometimes substantial existences, supposed to be possessed by, or allyed to the unsubstantial; but the Witches in Macbeth are a gross fort of shadows, "bubbles of the earth," as they are finely called by Banquo. - Ghosts differ from other imaginary beings in this, that they belong to no element, have no specific nature or character, and are effects, however harsh the expression, supposed without a cause; the reason of which is that they are not the creation of the poet, but the fervile copies or transcripts of popular imagination, connected with supposed reality and religion. Should the poet assign the true cause, and call them the mere painting or coinage of the brain, he would disappoint his own end, and destroy the being he had raised. Should he affign fictitious causes, and add a specific nature, and a local habitation, it would not be endured; or the effect would be lost by the conversion of one being into another. The approach to reality in this cafe defeats expression uttered by any character in the Drama that can be construed into any impeachment of Falftaff's Courage;—an observation made before as respecting some of the Witnesses;—it is now extended to all: And though this filence be a negative proof only, it cannot, in my opinion, under the circumstances of the case, and whilst uncontradicted by facts, be too much relied on. If Falstaff had been intended for the character of a Miles Gloriofus, his behaviour ought, and therefore would have been commented upon by others. Shakespeare seldom trusts to the apprehensions of his audience; his characters interpret for one another continually, and when we least suspect such artful and secret management

defeats all the arts and managements of fiction.—The whole play of the *Tempest* is of so high and superior a nature that *Dryden*, who had attempted to imitate in vain, might well exclaim that

[&]quot; - Shakespeare's magic could not copied be,

[&]quot;Within that circle none durft walk but He,"

management: The conduct of Shakespeare in this respect is admirable, and I could point out a thousand passages which might put to shame the advocates of a formal Chorus, and prove that there is as little of necessity as grace in so mechanic a contrivance *. But I consine my censure of the Chorus to its supposed use of comment and interpretation only.

Falfaff is, indeed, so far from appearing to my eye in the light of a Miles Gloriofus, that in the best of my taste and judgment, he does not discover, except in consequence of the robbery, the least trait of such a character. All his boasting speeches are humour, mere humour, and carefully spoken to persons who cannot misapprehend them, who cannot be imposed on: They contain indeed, for the most part, an unreasonable and imprudent ridicule

^{*} Ænobarbus, in Anthony and Cleopatra, is in effect the Chorus of the Play; as Menenius Agrippa is of Coriolanus.

of himself, the usual subject of his good humoured merriment; but in the company of ignorant people, fuch as the Justices, or his own followers, he is remarkably referved, and does not hazard any thing, even in the way of humour, that may be fubject to mistake: Indeed he no where feems to suspect that his character is open to censure on this side, or that he needs the arts of imposition.—" Turk Gregory "never did such deeds in arms as I have done this "day," is spoken, whilst he breathes from action, to the Prince in a tone of jolly humour, and contains nothing but a light ridicule of his own inactivity: This is as far from real boafting as his faying before the battle, "Wou'd it "were bed-time, Hal, and all were well," is from meanness or depression. This articulated wish is not the fearful outcry of a Coward, but the frank and honest breathing of a generous fellow, who does not expect to be feriously reproached with the character. Instead indeed, of deserving the name of a vain glorious Coward, his modestv modesty perhaps on this head, and whimsical ridicule of himself, have been a principal source of the imputation.

But to come to the very ferious reproach thrown upon him by that cold blooded boy, as he calls him, Lancaster.—Lancaster makes a folemn treaty of peace with the Archbishop of York, Mowbray, &c. upon the faith of which they disperse their troops; which is no sooner done than Lancaster arrests the Principals, and pursues the scattered stray: A transaction, by the bye, so singularly perfidious, that I wish Shakespeare, for his own credit, had not suffered it to pass under his pen without marking it with the blackest strokes of Infamy.—During this transaction, Falstaff arrives, joins in the pursuit, and takes Sir John Coleville prisoner. Upon being feen by Lancaster he is thus addreffed :--

- " Now Falstaff, where have you been all this while?
- "When every thing is over then you come:
- "These tardy tricks of yours will, on my life,
- "One time or other break some gallows' back."

This may appear to many a very formidable passage. It is spoken, as we may say, in the hearing of the army, and by one intitled as it were by his station to decide on military conduct; and if no punishment immediately follows, the forbearance may be imputed to a regard for the Prince of Wales, whose favour the delinquent was known fo unworthily to poffefs. But this reasoning will by no means apply to the real circumstances of the case. The effect of this passage will depend on the credit we shall be inclined to give to Lancaster for integrity and candour, and still more upon the facts which are the ground of this censure, and which are fairly offered by Shakespeare to our notice.

G We

We will examine the evidence arising from both; and to this end we must in the first place a little unfold the character of this young Commander in chief;—from a review of which we may more clearly discern the general impulses and secret motives of his conduct: And this is a proceeding which I think the peculiar character of Shakespeare's Drama will very well justify.

We are already well prepared what to think of this young man:—We have just seen a very pretty manœuvre of his in a matter of the highest moment, and have therefore the less reason to be surprized if we find him practising a more petty fraud with suitable skill and address. He appears in truth to have been what Falstaff calls him, a cold reserved sober-blooded boy; a politician, as it should seem, by nature; bred up moreover in the school of Bolingbroke his father, and tutored to betray: With sufficient courage and ability perhaps, but with too much of the

knave in his composition, and too little of enthusiasm, ever to be a great and superior character. That fuch a youth as this should. even from the propensities of character alone, take any plaufible occasion to injure a frank unguarded man of wit and pleasure, will not appear unnatural. But he had other inducements. Falftaff had given very general scandal by his diffinguished wit and noted poverty, infomuch that a little cruelty and injuffice towards him was likely to pass, in the eye of the grave and prudent part of mankind, as a very creditable piece of fraud, and to be accounted to Lancaster for virtue and good service. But Lancaster had motives yet more prevailing; Falftaff was a Favourite, without the power which belongs to that character; and the tone of the Court was strongly against him, as the misleader and corrupter of the Prince; who was now at too great a distance to afford him immediate countenance and protection. A scratch then, between jest and earnest as it

G 2

were, fomething that would not too much offend the prince, yet would leave behind a difgraceful scar upon Falstaff, was very suitable to the temper and situation of parties and affairs. With these observations in our thought let us return to the passage: It is plainly intended for difgrace, but how artful, how cautious, how infidious is the manner! It may pass for sheer pleasantry and humour: Lancaster assumes the familiar phrase and girding tone of Harry; and the gallows, as he words it, appears to be in the most danger from an encounter with Falstaff.—With respect to the matter, 'tis a kind of miching malicho; it means mischief indeed, but there is not precision enough in it to intitle it to the appellation of a formal charge, or to give to Falstaff any certain and determined ground of defence. Tardy tricks may mean, not Cowardice but neglect only, though the manner may feem to carry the imputation to both.—The reply of Falfaff is exactly fuited to the qualities of the speech;—for Falstaff

Falftaff never wants ability but conduct only. He answers the general effect of this speech, by a feeling and ferious complaint of injuftice; he then goes on to apply his defence to the vindication both of his diligence and courage; but he deferts by degrees his ferious tone, and taking the handle of pleasantry which Lancaster had held forth to him, he is prudently content, as being fensible of Lancaster's high rank and station, to let the whole pass off in buffoonery and humour. But the question is, however, not concerning the adroitness and management of either party: Our business is, after putting the credit of Lancaster out of the question, to discover what there may be of truth and of fact either in the charge of the one, or the defence of the other. From this only, we shall be able to draw our inferences with fairness and with candour. The charge against Falstaff is already in the possession of the reader: The defence follows.—

Falf. "I would be forry, my lord, but it should "be thus: I never knew yet but that rebuke and "check were the reward of valour. Do you think "me a swallow, an arrow, or a bullet? Have I "in my poor and old motion the expedition of "thought? I speeded hither within the very ex-"tremest inch of possibility. I have foundered nine-"score and odd posts, (deserting by degrees his "serious tone, for one of more address and ad-"vantage) and here travel-tainted as I am, have I "in my pure and immaculate valour taken Sir John "Coleville of the dale, a most furious Knight and "valorous enemy."

Falftaff's answer then is, that he used all possible expedition to join the army; the not doing of which, with an implication of Cowardice as the cause, is the utmost extent of the charge against him; and to take off this implication he refers to the evidence of a fact present and manifest,—the surrender of Coleville; in whose hearing he speaks, and to whom therefore

therefore he is supposed to appeal. Nothing then remains but that we should inquire if Falsaff's answer was really sounded in truth; "I speeded hither, says he, within the extremest inch "of possibility:" If it be so, he is justified: But I am asraid, for we must not conceal any thing, that Falsaff was really detained too long by his debaucheries in London; at least, if we take the Chief Justice's words very strictly.

"Ch. Just. How now, Sir John? What are you brawling here? Doth this become your Place, your Time, your Business? You should have been well on your way to York."

Here then feems to be a delay worthy perhaps of rebuke; and if we could suppose Lancaster to mean nothing more by tardy tricks than idleness and debauch, I should not possibly think myself much concerned to vindicate Falstaff from the charge; but the words imply, to my apprehension, a designed and deliberate H 2 G 4 avoidance

avoidance of danger. Yet to the contrary of this we are furnished with very full and complete evidence. Falftaff, the moment he quits London, discovers the utmost eagerness and impatience to join the army; he gives up his gluttony, his mirth, and his ease. We see him take up in his passage some recruits at Shallow's house; and tho' he has pecuniary views upon Shallow, no inducement stops him; he takes no refreshment, he cannot tarry dinner, he hurries off; "I will not, fays he to the Justices, "use many words with you. Fare ye well Gentle-"men both; I thank ye, I must a dozen miles to night."— He misuses, it is true, at this time the King's Press damnably; but that does not concern me, at least not for the present; it belongs to other parts of his character.—It appears then manifestly that Shakespeare meant to shew Falftaff as really using the utmost speed in his power; he arrives almost literally within the extremest inch of possibility; and if Lancaster had not accelerated the event by a stroke of perfidy much much more subject to the imputation of Cowardice than the Debauch of Falstaff, he would have been time enough to have shared in the danger of a fair and honest decision. But great men have it feems a priviledge; "that in the General's but a choleric word, "which in the Soldier were flat blasphemy." Yet after all, Falstaff did really come time enough, as it appears, to join in the villainous triumphs of the day, to take prisoner Coleville of the dale, a most furious Knight and valorous enemy.—Let us look to the fact. If this incident should be found to contain any striking proof of Falstaff's Courage and Military fame, his defence against Lancaster will be stronger than the reader has even a right to demand. Falstaff encounters Coleville in the field, and having demanded his name, is ready to assail him; but Coleville asks him if he is not Sir John Falstaff; thereby implying a purpose of surrender. Falstaff will not so much as furnish him with a pretence, and answers

only, that he is as good a man. "Do you yield Sir, or shall I sweat for you? "I think, says Coleville "you are Sir John Falstaff, and in that thought "yield me." This fact, and the incidents with which it is accompanied, speak loudly; it feems to have been contrived by the author on purpose to take off a rebuke so authoritatively made by Lancaster. The fact is set before our eyes to confute the censure: Lancaster himself seems to give up his charge, tho' not his ill will; for upon Falftaff's asking leave to pass through Glostershire, and artfully defiring that, upon Lancaster's return to Court, he might stand well in his report, I.ancaster seems in his answer to mingle malice and acquital. "Fare ye well, Falstaff, I in my "condition shall better speak of you than you deserve. I would, says Falstaff, who is left behind in the scene, "You had but the "wit; 'twere better than your Dukedom." He continues on the stage some time chewing the cud of dishonour, which, with all his facility,

he cannot well fwallow. "Good faith" fays he, accounting to himself as well as he could for the injurious conduct of Lancaster; "this "fober-blooded boy does not love me." This he might well believe. "A man, fays he, cannot "make him laugh; there's none of these demure "boys come to any proof; but that's no marvel, "they drink no fack."—Falstaff then it seems knew no drinker of fack who was a Coward; at least the instance was not home and familiar to him.—" They all, fays he, fall into a kind " of Male green sickness, and are generally fools and Cowards." Anger has a privilege, and I think Falstaff has a right to turn the tables upon Lancaster if he can; but Lancaster was certainly no fool, and I think upon the whole, no Coward; yet the Male green fickness which Falstaff talks of, seems to have insected his manners and aspect, and taken from him all external indication of gallantry and courage. He behaves in the battle of Shrewsbury beyond the promise of his complexion and deportment:

"By heaven thou hast deceived me Lancaster, says Harry, "I did not think thee Lord of fuch a spirit! Nor was his father less surprized " at his holding Lord Percy at the point with lustier maintenance than he did look for from such an unripe warrior." But how well and unexpectedly foever he might have behaved upon that occasion, he does not seem to have been of a temper to trust fortune too much or too often with his fafety; therefore it is that, in order to keep the event in his own hands, he loads the Die, in the present case, with villainy and deceit: The event however he piously ascribes, like a wife and prudent youth as he is, without paying that worship to himself which he fo justly merits, to the special favour and interposition of Heaven.

But the prophane Falftaff, on the contrary, less informed and less studious of supernatural things,

[&]quot;Strike up your drums, pursue the scattered stray.

[&]quot;Heaven, and not we, have safely fought to-day."

things, imputes the whole of this conduct to thin potations, and the not drinking largely of good and excellent *sherris*; and so little doubt does he seem to entertain of the Cowardice and ill disposition of this youth, that he stands devising causes, and casting about for an hypothesis on which the whole may be physically explained and accounted for;—but I shall leave him and Doctor *Cadogan* to settle that point as they may.

The only serious charge against Falstaff's Courage, we have now at large examined; it came from great authority, from the Commander in chief, and was meant as chastisfement and rebuke; but it appears to have been founded in ill-will, in the particular character of Lancaster, and in the wantonness and insolence of power; and the author has placed near, and under our notice, full and ample proofs of its injustice.—And thus the deeper we look into Falstaff's character, the stronger is our conviction that he was not intended

tended to be shewn as a Constitutional coward: Censure cannot lay sufficient hold on him,—and even malice turns away, and more than half pronounces his acquittal.

But as yet we have dealt principally in parole and circumstantial evidence, and have referred to Fast only incidentally. But Fasts have a much more operative influence: They may be produced, not as arguments only, but Records; not to dispute alone, but to decide.-It is time then to behold Falftaff in actual service as a foldier, in danger, and in battle. We have already displayed one fact in his defence against the censure of Lancaster; a fact extremely unequivocal and decifive. But the reader knows I have others, and doubtlefs goes before me to the action at Shrew/bury. In the midst and in the heat of battle we see him come forwards; -- what are his words? . "I "have ledmy Rag-o-muffianswhere they are peppered; " there's not three of my hundred and fifty left alive."

But to whom does he fay this? To himself only; he speaks in foliloguy. There is no questioning the fact, he had led them; they were peppered; there were not three left alive. He was in luck, being in bulk equal to any two of them, to escape unhurt. Let the author answer for that, I have nothing to do with it: He was the Poetic maker of the whole Corps, and he might dispose of them as he pleased. Well might the Chief justice, as we now find, acknowledge Falftaff's fervices in this day's battle; an acknowledgment, which amply confirms the fact. A Modern officer, who had performed a feat of this kind, would expect, not only the praise of having done his duty, but the appellation of a hero. But poor Falstaff has too much wit to thrive: In spite of probability, in spite of inference, in spite of fact, he must be a Coward still. He happens unfortunately to have more Wit than Courage, and therefore we are maliciously determined that he shall have no Courage at all. But let us suppose that his modes of expresfion, even in foliloguy, will admit of some abatement; -- how much shall we abate? Say that he brought off fifty instead of three; yet a Modern captain would be apt to look big after an action with two thirds of his men, as it were, in his belly. Surely Shakespeare never meant to exhibit this man as a Conflitutional coward; if he did, his means were fadly destructive of his end. We see him, after he had expended his Rag-o-muffians, with fword and target in the midst of battle, in perfect possession of himself, and replete with humour and jocularity. He was, I prefume, in some immediate personal danger, in danger also of a general defeat; too corpulent for flight; and to be led a prisoner was probably to be led to execution; yet we see him laughing and easy, offering a bottle of fack to the Prince instead of a pistol, punning, and telling him, "there was that which would fack a "city."—"What is it a time, (fays the Prince) "to jest and dally now?" No, a fober character bluow

would not jest on such an occasion, but a Coward could not; he would neither have the inclination, or the power. And what could fupport Falftaff in such a situation? Not principle; he is not suspected of the Point of honour; he feems indeed fairly to renounce it. "Ho-"nour cannot set a leg or an arm; it has no skill in " furgery: -- What is it? a word only; meer air. It "is insensible to the dead; and detraction will not "let it live with the living." What then, but a strong natural constitutional Courage, which nothing could extinguish or dismay?—In the following passages the true character of Falstaff as to Courage and Principle is finely touched, and the different colours at once nicely blended and distinguished. "If Percy be alive, I'll pierce "him. If he do come in my way, so:-If he "do not, if I come in his willingly, let him make a "Carbonado of me. I like not such grinning honour "as Sir Walter hath; give me life; which, if I can "fave, so; if not, honour comes unlook'd for, and "there's an end." One cannot fay which pre-H vails

vails most here, profligacy or courage; they are both tinged alike by the same humour, and mingled in one common mass; yet when we consider the superior force of Percy, as we must presently also that of Douglas, we shall be apt, I believe, in our fecret heart, to forgive him. These passages are spoken in soliloquy and in battle: If every foliloquy made under similar circumstances were as audible as Falftaff's, the imputation might perhaps be found too general for censure. These are among the passages that have impressed on the world an idea of Cowardice in Falfaff;—yet why? He is resolute to take his fate: If Percy do come in his way, so;if not, he will not feek inevitable destruction; he is willing to fave his life, but if that cannot be, why,--"honour comes unlook'd for, and there's an end." This furely is not the language of Cowardice: It contains neither the Bounce or Whine of the character; he derides, it is true, and feems to renounce that grinning idol of Military zealots, Honour. But Falstaff was a kind of Military free-thinker, and has accordingly incurred the obloquy of his condition. He stands upon the ground of natural Courage only and common fense, and has, it seems, too much wit for a hero.—But let me be well understood;—I do not justify Falltaff for renouncing the point of honour; it proceeded doubtless from a general relaxation of mind, and profligacy of temper. Honour is calculated to aid and strengthen natural courage, and lift it up to heroism; but natural courage, which can act as fuch without honour, is natural courage still; the very quality I wish to maintain to Falstaff. And if, without the aid of honour, he can act with firmness, his portion is only the more eminent and diftinguished. In such a character, it is to his actions, not his fentiments, that we are to look for conviction. But it may be still further urged in behalf of Falstaff, that there may be false honour as well as false religion. It is true; yet even in that case, candour obliges

H₂

me to confess, that the best men are most disposed to conform, and most likely to become the dupes of their own virtue. But it may however be more reasonably urged, that there are particular tenets both in honour and religion, which it is the grossness of folly not to question. To seek out, to court assured destruction, without leaving a single benefit behind, may be well reckoned in the number: And this is precifely the very folly which Falftaff feems to abjure;—nor are we, perhaps intitled to fay more, in the way of censure, than that he had not virtue enough to become the dupe of honour, nor prudence enough to hold his tongue. I am willing however, if the reader pleases, to compound this matter, and acknowledge, on my part, that Falftaff was in all respects the old soldier; that he had put himself under the sober discipline of discretion, and renounced, in a great degree at least, what he might call, the Vanities and Superstitions of honour; if the reader

will, on his part, admit that this might well be, without his renouncing, at the same time, the natural firmness and resolution he was born to.

But there is a formidable objection behind. Falltaff counterfeits basely on being attacked by Douglas; he assumes, in a cowardly spirit, the appearance of death to avoid the reality. But there was no equality of force; not the least chance for victory, or life. And is it the duty then, think we still, of true Courage, to meet, without benefit to fociety, certain death? Or is it only the phantafy of honour?—But fuch a fiction is highly difgraceful;—true, and a man of nice honour might perhaps have grinned for it. But we must remember that Falstaff had a double character; he was a wit as well as a foldier; and his Courage, however eminent, was but the accessary; his wit was the principal; and the part, which, if they should come in competition, he had the greatest H_3 P.P. 600 I

greatest interest in maintaining. Vain indeed were the licentiousness of his principles, if he should seek death like a bigot, yet without the meed of honour; when he might live by wit, and encrease the reputation of that wit by living. But why do I labour this point? It has been already anticipated, and our improved acquaintance with Falstaff will now require no more than a short narrative of the fact.

Whilst in the battle of Shrewsbury he is exhorting and encouraging the Prince who is engaged with the Spirit Percy—"Well said Hal, to him Hal,"—he is himself attacked by the Fiend Douglas. There was no match; nothing remained but death or stratagem; grinning honour, or laughing life. But an expedient offers, a mirthful one,—Take your choice Falstaff, a point of honour, or a point of drollery.—It could not be a question;—Falstaff falls, Douglas is cheated, and the world laughs. But does he fall like a Coward?

No, like a buffoon only; the superior principle prevails, and Falltaff lives by a stratagem growing out of his character, to prove himself no counterfeit, to jest, to be employed, and to fight again. That Falftaff valued himfelf, and expected to be valued by others, upon this piece of faving wit is plain. It was a stratagem, it is true; it argued prefence of mind; but it was moreover, what he most liked, a very laughable joke; and as fuch he confiders it; for he continues to counterfeit after the danger is over, that he may also deceive the Prince, and improve the event into more laughter. He might, for ought that appears, have concealed the transaction; the Prince was too earnestly engaged for obfervation; he might have formed a thousand excuses for his fall; but he lies still and liftens to the pronouncing of his epitaph by the Prince with all the waggish glee and levity of his character. The circumstance of his wounding Percy in the thigh, and carrying the dead body on his back like luggage, is indecent but not cowardly. The declaring, though in jest, that he killed *Percy*, seems to me idle, but it is not meant or calculated for imposition; it is spoken to the *Prince himself*, the man in the world who could not be, or be supposed to be imposed on. But we must hear, whether to the purpose or not, what it is that *Harry* has to say over the remains of his old friend.

P. Hen. What old acquaintance! could not all this flesh

Keep in a little life? Poor Jack farewell! I could have better spared a better man. Oh! I shou'd have a heavy miss of thee, If I were much in love with vanity. Death hath not struck so fat a deer to-day, Tho' many a dearer in this bloody fray; Imbowelled will I see thee by and by; Till then, in blood by noble Percy lye.

This is wonderfully proper for the occasion; it is affectionate, it is pathetic, yet it remembers his vanities, and, with a faint gleam of recollected mirth, even his plumpness and corpulency; but it is a pleasantry softned and rendered even vapid by tenderness, and it goes off in the sickly effort of a miserable pun*.—But to our immediate purpose,—why is not his Cowardice remembered too? what no surprize that Falsaff should

A play upon words is the most that can be expected from one who affects gaiety under the pressure of severe misfortunes; but so impersect, so broken a gleam,

^{*} The censure commonly passed on Shakespeare's puns, is, I think, not well founded. I remember but very sew, which are undoubtedly his, that may not be justifyed; and if so, a greater instance cannot be given of the art which he so peculiarly possessed of converting base things into excellence.

[&]quot;For if the Jew do cut but deep enough,

[&]quot;I'll pay the forfeiture with all my heart."

should lye by the side of the noble Percy in the bed of honour! No reflection that flight, though unfettered by disease, could not avail; that fear could not find a subterfuge from death? Shall his corpulency and his vanities be recorded, and his more characteristic quality of Cowardice, even in the moment that it particularly demanded notice and reflection, be forgotten? If by sparing a better man be here meant a better foldier, there is no doubt but there were better Soldiers in the army, more active, more young, more principled, more knowing; but none, it feems, taken for all in all, more acceptable. The comparative better used here leaves to Falstaff the praise at least of good; and to be a good soldier,

is

can only ferve more plainly to disclose the gloom and darkness of the mind; it is an effort of fortitude, which failing in its operation, becomes the truest, because the most unaffected pathos; and a skilful actor, well managing his tone and action, might with this miserable pun, steep a whole audience suddenly in tears.

is to be a great way from Coward. But Falftaff's goodness, in this fort, appears to have been not only enough to redeem him from disgrace, but to mark him with reputation; if I was to add with eminence and distinction, the funeral honours, which are intended for his obsequies, and his being bid, till then, to lye in blood by the noble Percy, would fairly bear me out.

Upon the whole of the passages yet before us, why may I not reasonably hope that the good natured reader, (and I write to no other) not offended at the levity of this exercise, may join with me in thinking that the character of Falstaff as to valour, may be fairly and honestly summed up in the very words which he himself uses to Harry; and which seem, as to this point, to be intended by Shakespeare as a Compendium of his character. "What, says the Prince, a Coward Sir John Paunch!" Falstaff replies, "Indeed I "am not John of Gaunt your grandsather, but yet "no Coward, Hal."

The robbery at *Gadshill* comes now to be confidered. But *here*, after fuch long argumentation, we may be allowed to breath a little.

I know not what Impression has been made on the reader; a good deal of evidence has been produced, and much more remains to be offered. But how many forts of men are there whom no evidence can perfuade! How many, who ignorant of Shakespeare, or forgetful of the text, may as well read heathen Greek, or the laws of the land, as this unfortunate Commentary? How many, who proud and pedantic, hate all novelty, and damn it without mercy under one compendious word, Paradox? How many more, who not deriving their opinions immediately from the fovereignty of reason, hold at the will of some superior lord, to whom accident or inclination has attached them, and who, true to their vassalage, are resolute not to surrender, without express permission, their base and illgotten possessions. These, however habited, are the

the mob of mankind, who hoot and holla, his or huzza, just as their various leaders may direct. I challenge the whole Pannel as not holding by free tenure, and therefore not competent to the purpose either of condemnation or acquittal. But to the men of very nice honour what shall be faid? I speak not of your men of good fervice, but fuch as Mr. * * * * " Souls made of fire, and children of the fun." These gentlemen, I am fadly afraid, cannot in honour or prudence admit of any composition in the very nice article of Courage; suspicion is disgrace, and they cannot stay to parley with dishonour. The misfortune in cases of this kind, is, that it is not easy to obtain a fair and impartial Jury: When we cenfure others with an eye to our own applause, we are as seldom sparing of reproach, as inquisitive into circumstance; and bold is the man, who tenacious of justice, shall venture to weigh circumstances, or draw lines of distinction between Cowardice and any apparently fimilar or neighbour quality: As well may a lady, virgin virgin or matron, of immaculate honour, prefume to pity or palliate the foft failing of some unguarded friend, and thereby confess, as it were, those sympathetic feelings which it behoves her to conceal under the most contemptuous disdain; a disdain, always proportioned, I believe, to a certain consciousness which we must not explain. I am afraid that poor Falstaff has suffered not a little, and may yet suffer by this fastidiousness of temper. But though we may find these classes of men rather unfavourable to our wishes, the Ladies, one may hope, whose smiles are most worth our ambition, may be found more propitious; yet they too, through a generous conformity to the brave, are apt to take up the high tone of honour. Heroism is an idea perfectly conformable to the natural delicacy and elevation of their minds. Should we be fortunate enough therefore to redeem Falltaff from the imputations of Cowardice, yet plain Courage, I am afraid, will not serve the turn: Even their heroes, I think, must be for the most part in the bloom bloom of youth, or just where youth ends, in manhood's freshest prime; but to be "Old, cold, and of "intolerable entrails; to be fat and greafy; as poor "as Job, and as flanderous as Satan;"-Take him away, he merits not a fair trial; he is too offensive to be turned, too odious to be touched. I grant, indeed that the subject of our lecture is not without his infirmity; "He cuts three in-"ches on the ribs, he was short-winded," and his breath possibly not of the sweetest: "He had the "gout," or fomething worse, "which played the "rogue with his great toe."-But these considerations are not to the point; we shall conceal, as much as may be, these offences; our business is with his heart only, which, as we shall endeavour to demonstrate, lies in the right place, and is firm and found, notwithstanding a few indications to the contrary.—As for you, Mrs. Mon-TAGUE, I am grieved to find that you have been involved in a Popular error; so much you must allow me to fay;—for the rest, I bow to your genius and your virtues: You have given to the world world a very elegant composition; and I am told your manners and your mind are yet more pure, more elegant than your book. Falstaff was too gross, too infirm, for your inspection; but if you durst have looked nearer, you would not have found Cowardice in the number of his infirmities.—We will try if we cannot redeem him from this universal censure.—Let the venal corporation of authors duck to the golden fool, let them shape their fordid quills to the mercenary ends of unmerited praise, or of baser detraction; -old Jack though deferted by princes, though cenfured by an ungrateful world, and persecuted from age to age by Critic and Commentator, and though never rich enough to hire one literary profitute, shall find a Voluntary defender; and that too at a time when the whole body of the *Nabobry* demands and requires defence; whilst their ill-gotten and almost untold gold feels loofe in their unaffured grasp, and whilst they are ready to shake off portions of the enormous heap, that they may the more fecurely

fecurely clasp the remainder.—But not to digress without end,—to the candid, to the chearful, to the elegant reader we appeal; our exercise is much too light for the sour eye of strict severity; it professes amusement only, but we hope of a kind more rational than the History of Miss Betsy, eked out with the Story of Miss Lucy, and the Tale of Mr. Twankum: And fo, in a leifure hour, and with the good natured reader, it may be hoped, to friend, we return, with an air as bufy and important as if we were engaged in the grave office of measuring the Pyramids, or settling the antiquity of Stonehenge, to converse with this jovial, this fat, this roguish, this frail, but, I think, not cowardly companion.

Though the robbery at Gads-Hill, and the fupposed Cowardice of Falstaff on that occafion, are next to be considered, yet I must previously declare, that I think the discussion of this matter to be now unessential to the re-establishment of Falstaff's reputation as a man of Courage. For suppose we should grant, in form, that Falftaff was surprized with fear in this single instance, that he was off his guard, and even acted like a Coward; what will follow, but that Falftaff, like greater heroes, had his weak moment, and was not exempted from panic and furprize? If a fingle exception can destroy a general character, Heltor was a Coward, and Anthony a Poltroon. But for these seeming contradictions of Character we shall seldom be at a loss to account, if we carefully refer to circumstance and fituation.—In the present instance, Falstaff had done an illegal act; the exertion was over; and he had unbent his mind in fecurity. The spirit of enterprize, and the animating principle of hope, were withdrawn:—In this fituation, he is unexpectedly attacked; he has no time to recall his thoughts, or bend his mind to action. He is not now acting in the Profession and in the Habits of a Soldier;

Soldier; he is affociated with known Cowards; his affailants are vigorous, fudden, and bold; he is conscious of guilt; he has dangers to dread of every form, present and future; prifons and gibbets, as well as fword and fire; he is furrounded with darkness, and the Sheriff, the Hangman, and the whole Posse Commitatus may be at his heels: - Without a moment for reflection, is it wonderful that, under these circumstances, "he should run and roar, and "carry his guts away with as much dexterity as " possible ?"

But though I might well rest the question on this ground, yet as there remains many good topics of vindication; and as I think a more minute inquiry into this matter will only bring out more evidence in support of Falftaff's conftitutional Courage, I will not decline the discussion. I beg permission therefore to state fully, as well as fairly, the Ī 2

whole of this obnoxious transaction, this unfortunate robbery at Gads-Hill.

In the scene wherein we become first acquainted with Falstaff, his character is opened in a manner worthy of Shakespeare: We see him in a green old age, mellow, frank, gay, eafy, corpulent, loofe, unprincipled, and luxurious; a Robber, as he fays, by his vocation; yet not altogether so:—There was much, it seems, of mirth and recreation in the case: "The poor abuses of the times," he wantonly and humourously tells the Prince "want countenance; and he hates to see resolution fobbed off, as it is, by the rusty curb of old father antic, the law."-When he quits the scene, we are acquainted that he is only passing to the Tavern: "Farewell," says he, with an air of careless jollity and gay content, "You will find me in East-Cheap." "Fare-"well," fays the Prince, "thou latter . spring; "farewell, all hallown fummer." But though all this is excellent for Shakespeare's purposes, we find find, as yet at leaft, no hint of Falftaff's Cowardice, no appearance of Braggadocio, or any preparation whatever for laughter under this head.—The inftant Falftaff is withdrawn, Poins opens to the Prince his meditated scheme of a double robbery; and here then we may reafonably expect to be let into these parts of Falftaff's character.—We shall see.

Poins. Now my good sweet lord, ride with us to"morrow; I have a jest to execute that I cannot
"manage alone. Falstaff, Bardolph, Peto, and
"Gadshill shall rob those men that we have already
"waylaid; yourself and I will not be there; and
"when they have the booty, if you and I do not
"rob them, cut this head from off my shoulders."

This is giving strong surety for his words; perhaps he thought the case required it: But "how, says the Prince, shall we part with them in "setting forth?" Poins is ready with his answer; he had matured the thought, and could solve

every difficulty:-" They could set out before, or "after; their horses might be tied in the wood; "they could change their vifors; and he had al-"ready procured cases of buckram to inmask their "outward garments." This was going far; it was doing business in good earnest. But if we look into the Play we shall be better able to account for this activity; we shall find that there was, at least as much malice as jest in Poins's intention. The rival fituations of Poins and Falstaff had produced on both fides much jealoufy and ill will, which occasionally appears, in Shakespeare's manner, by side lights, without confounding the main action; and by the little we see of this Poins, he appears to be an unamiable, if not a very brutish and bad, character.—But to pass this;—the Prince next fays, with a deliberate and wholesome caution, "I doubt they will be too hard for us." Poins's reply is remarkable; "Well, for two of them, I know "them to be as true bred Cowards as ever turned back; " and for the third, if he fights longer than he "sees cause, I will forswear arms." There is in this reply a great deal of management: There were four persons in all, as Poins well knew, and he had himself, but a little before, named them, -Falftaff, Bardolph, Peto, and Gadfhill; but now he omits one of the number, which must be either Falstaff, as not subject to any imputation in point of Courage; and in that case Peto will be the third; -or, as I rather think, in order to diminish the force of the Prince's objection, he artfully drops Gadshill, who was then out of town, and might therefore be supposed to be less in the Prince's notice; and upon this supposition Falltaff will be the third, who will not fight longer than he sees reason. But on either supposition, what evidence is there of a pre-supposed Cowardice in Falstaff? On the contrary, what stronger evidence can we require that the Courage of Falftaff had to this hour, through various trials, stood wholly unimpeached, than that Poins, the ill-disposed Poins, who K 2 14

who ventures, for his own purposes, to steal, as it were, one of the four from the notice and memory of the Prince, and who shews himself, from worse motives, as skilfull in diminishing as Falstaff appears afterwards to be in increasing of numbers, than that this very Poins should not venture to put down Falstaff in the lift of Cowards; though the occasion so strongly required that he should be degraded. What Poins dares do however in this fort, he does. "As to the third," for to he describes Falftaff, (as if the name of this Veteran would have excited too strongly the ideas of Courage and resistance) " if he fights longer than he sees reason "I will for swear arms." This is the old trick of cautious and artful malice: The turn of expression, or the tone of voice does all; for as to the words themselves, simply confidered, they might be now truly spoken of almost any man who ever lived, except the iron-headed hero of Sweden.—But Poins however adds fomething, which may appear more decifive

decisive; "The virtue of this jest will be, the "incomprehensible lyes which this fat rogue will "tell when we meet at supper; how thirty at "least he fought with; and what wards, what "blows, what extremities, he endured: And in the "reproof of this lies the jest:"-Yes, and the malice too.—This prediction was unfortunately fulfilled, even beyond the letter of it; a completion more incident, perhaps, to the predictions of malice than of affection. But we shall presently see how far either the prediction, or the event, will go to the impeachment of Falftaff's Courage.—The Prince, who is never duped, comprehends the whole of Poins's views. But let that pass.

In the next scene we behold all the parties at Gads-Hill in preparation for the robbery. Let us carefully examine if it contains any intimation of Cowardice in Falstaff. He is shewn under a very ridiculous vexation about his horse, which is hid from him; but this is nothing

thing to the purpose, or only proves that Falflaff knew no terror equal to that of walking eight yards of uneven ground. But on occasion of Gadshill's being asked concerning the number of the travellers, and having reported that they were eight or ten, Falstaff exclaims, "Zounds! "will they not rob us!" If he had faid more feriously, "I doubt they will be too hard for us,"he would then have only used the Prince's own words upon a less alarming occasion. This cannot need defence. But the Prince, in his usual stile of mirth, replies, "What a "Coward, Sir John Paunch!" To this one would naturally expect from Falftaff some light anfwer; but we are furprized with a very ferious one; - "I am not indeed John of Gaunt your "grandfather, but yet no Coward, Hal." is fingular: It contains, I think, the true character of Falstaff; and it seems to be thrown out here, at a very critical conjuncture, as a caution to the audience not to take too fadly what was intended only (to use the Prince's words) words,) "as argument for a week, laughter for "a month, and a good jest for ever after." The whole of Falstaff's past life could not, it should feem, furnish the Prince with a reply, and he is, therefore, obliged to draw upon the coming hope. "Well, (fays he, mysteriously,) "let the event try;" meaning the event of the concerted attack on Falstaff; an event so probable, that he might indeed venture to rely on it.—But the travellers approach: The Prince hastily proposes a division of strength; that he with Poins should take a station seperate from the rest, so that if the travellers should escape one party, they might light on the other: Falstaff does not object, though he supposes the travellers to be eight or ten in number. We next see Falstaff attack these travellers with alacrity using the accustomed words of threat and terror;—they make no resistance, and he binds and robs them.

Hitherto I think there has not appeared the least trait either of boast or fear in Falstaff. But now comes on the concerted transaction, which has been the fource of fo much dishonour. As they are sharing the booty, (fays the stage direction) the Prince and Poins set upon them, they all run away; and Falstaff after a blow or two runs away too, leaving the booty behind them.—"Got with much ease:" fays the Prince, as an event beyond expectation, "Now mer-"rily to horse."-Poins adds, as they are going off, "How the roque roared!" This observation is afterwards remembered by the Prince, who urging the jest to Falstaff, says, doubtless with all the licence of exaggeration, - "And you Falstaff, "carried your guts away as nimbly, with as quick "dexterity, and roared for mercy, and still ran "and roared, as I ever heard bull-calf." If he did roar for mercy, it must have been a very inarticulate fort of roaring; for there is not a fingle word fet down for Falltaff from which this roaring may be inferred, or any stage direction rection to the actor for that purpose: But, in the spirit of mirth and derision, the lightest exclamation might be easily converted into the roar of a bull-calf.

We have now gone through this transaction confidered fimply on its own circumstances, and without reference to any future boaft or imputation. It is upon these circumstances the case must be tried, and every colour subfequently thrown on it, either by wit or folly, ought to be discharged. Take it, then, as it flands hitherto, with reference only to its own preceding and concomitant circumstances, and to the unbounded ability of Shakespeare to obtain his own ends, and we must, I think, be compelled to confess that this transaction was never intended by Shakespeare to detect and expose the false pretences of a real Coward; but, on the contrary, to involve a man of allowed Courage, though in other respects of a very peculiar character, in fuch circumstances and **fuspicions** fuspicions of Cowardice as might, by the operation of those peculiarities, produce afterwards much temporary mirth among his familiar and intimate companions: Of this we cannot require a stronger proof than the great attention which is paid to the decorum and truth of character in the stage direction already quoted: It appears, from thence, that it was not thought decent that Falltaff should run at all, until he had been deferted by his companions, and had even afterwards exchanged blows with his affailants;—and thus, a just distinction is kept up between the natural Cowardice of the three affociates and the accidental Terror of Falstaff.

Hitherto, then, I think it is very clear that no laughter either is, or is intended to be, raised upon the score of Falstaff's Cowardice. For after all, it is not singularly ridiculous that an old inactive man of no boast, as far as appears, or extraordinary pretensions

tenfions to valour, should endeavour to save himself by slight from the assault of two bold and vigorous affailants. The very Players, who are, I think, the very worst judges of Shakespeare, have been made sensible, I suppose from long experience, that there is nothing in this transaction to excite any extraordinary laughter; but this they take to be a defect in the management of their author, and therefore I imagine it is, that they hold themfelves obliged to fupply the vacancy, and fill it up with some low buffoonery of their own. Instead of the dispatch necessary on this occasion, they bring Falstaff, stuffing and all, to the very front of the stage; where with much mummery and grimace, he feats himself down, with a canvass money-bag in his hand, to divide the spoil. In this situation he is attacked by the Prince and Poins, whose tin fwords hang idly in the air and delay to strike till the Player Falstaff, who seems more troubled with flatulence than fear, is able to rise; which which is not till after some ineffectual efforts, and with the assistance, (to the best of my memory) of one of the thieves, who lingers behind, in spite of terror, for this friendly purpose; after which, without any refistance on his part, he is goaded off the stage like a fat ox for flaughter by these stony-hearted drivers in buckram. I think he does not roar; --perhaps the player had never perfected himself in the tones of a bull-calf. This whole transaction should be shewn between the interstices of a back scene: The less we see in such cases, the better we conceive. Something of refistance and afterwards of celerity in flight we should be made witnesses of; the roar we should take on the credit of Poins. Nor is there any occasion for all that bolstering with which they fill up the figure of Falftaff; they do not distinguish betwixt humourous exaggeration and necessary truth. The Prince is called starveling, dried neat's tongue, stock fish, and other names of the same nature. They might with with almost as good reason, search the glasshouses for some exhausted stoker to furnish out a Prince of *Wales* of sufficient correspondence to this picture.

We next come to the scene of Falstaff's braggadocioes. I have already wandered too much into details; yet I must, however, bring Falstaff forward to this last scene of trial in all his proper colouring and proportions. The progressive discovery of Falstaff's character is excellently managed.—In the first scene we become acquainted with his figure, which we must in some degree confider as a part of his character; we hear of his gluttony and his debaucheries, and become witnesses of that indistinguishable mixture of humour and licentiousness which runs through his whole character; but what we are principally struck with, is the ease of his manners and deportment, and the unaffected freedom and wonderful pregnancy of his wit and humour. We see him, in the next scene, agi-

K

tated with vexation: His horse is concealed from him, and he gives on this occasion so striking a description of his distress, and his words fo labour and are fo loaded with heat and vapour, that, but for laughing, we should pity him; laugh, however, we must at the extreme incongruity of a man at once corpulent and old, affociating with youth in an enterprize demanding the utmost extravagance of spirit, and all the wildness of activity: And this it is which makes his complaints fo truly ridiculous. "Give me my horse!" fays he, in another spirit than that of Richard; "Eight "yards of uneven ground," adds this Forrester of Diana, this enterprizing gentleman of the shade, "is threescore and ten miles a-foot with me."-In the heat and agitation of the robbery, out comes more and more extravagant instances of incongruity. Though he is most probably older and much fatter than either of the travellers, yet he calls them, Bacons, Bacon-fed, and gorbellied knaves: "Hang them, (fays he) fat chuffs,

"they hate us youth: What! young men, must "live:-You are grand Jurors, are ye? We'll jure "ve, i' faith." But, as yet, we do not fee the whole length and breadth of him: This is referved for the braggadocio scene. We expect entertainment, but we don't well know of what kind. Poins, by his prediction, has given us a hint: But we do not see or feel Falstaff to be a Coward, much less a boaster; without which even Cowardice is not fufficiently ridiculous; and therefore it is, that on the stage, we find them always connected. In this uncertainty on our part, he is, with much artful preparation, produced.—His entrance is delayed to stimulate our expectation; and, at last, to take off the dullness of anticipation, and to add furprize to pleasure, he is called in, as if for another purpose of mirth than what we are furnished with: We now behold him, fluctuating with fiction, and labouring with diffembled passion and chagrin: Too full for utterance, Poins provokes him by a few fim-

K 2

ple

ple words, containing a fine contrast of affected ease. "Wekome Jack, where hast thou "been?" But when we hear him burst forth, " A plague on all Cowards! Give me a cup of fack. "Is there no virtue extant!"—We are at once in possession of the whole man, and are ready to hug him, guts, lyes and all, as an inexhaustible fund of pleasantry and humour. Cowardice, I apprehend, is out of our thought: it does not, I think, mingle in our mirth. As to this point, I have prefumed to fay already, and I repeat it, that we are, in my opinion, the dupes of our own wisdom, of fystematic reasoning, of second thought, and after reflection. The first spectators, I believe, thought of nothing but the laughable scrape which so singular a character was falling into, and were delighted to fee a humourous and unprincipled wit fo happily taken in his own inventions, precluded from all rational defence, and driven to the necessity of crying out, after a few ludicrous evafions, "No more of that, "Hal, if thou lov'st me."

I do not conceive myself obliged to enter into a confideration of Falstaff's lyes concerning the transaction at Gad's-hill. I have confidered his conduct as independent of those lyes; I have examined the whole of it apart, and found it free of Cowardice or fear, except in one instance, which I have endeavoured to account for and excuse. I have therefore a right to infer that those lyes are to be derived, not from Cowardice, but from some other part of his character, which it does not concern me to examine: But I have not contented myself hitherto with this fort of negative defence: and the reader I believe is aware that I am resolute (though I confess not untired) to carry this fat rogue out of the reach of every imputation which affects, or may feem to affect, his natural Courage.

The

The first observation then which strikes us, as to his braggadocioes, is, that they are braggadocioes after the fatt. In other cases we see the Coward of the Play bluster and boast for a time, talk of distant wars, and private duels, out of the reach of knowledge and of evidence; of storms and stratagems, and of falling in upon the enemy pell-mell and putting thoufands to the fword; till, at length, on the proof of some present and apparent fact, he is brought to open and lasting shame; to shame I mean as a Coward; for as to what there is of lyar in the case, it is considered only as accessory and scarcely reckoned into the account of dishonour.—But in the instance before us, every thing is reversed: The Play opens with the Fast; a Fact, from its circumstances as well as from the age and inactivity of the man, very excusable and capable of much apology, if not of defence. This Fact is preceded by no blufter or pretence whatever;—the lies and braggadocioes follow; but they they are not general; they are confined, and have reference to this one Fact only; the detection is immediate; and after some accompanying mirth and laughter, the shame of that detection ends; it has no duration, as in other cases; and, for the rest of the Play, the character stands just where it did before without any punishment or degradation whatever.

To account for all this, let us only suppose that Falfaff was a man of natural Courage, though in all respects unprincipled; but that he was surprized in one single instance into an act of real terror; which, instead of excusing upon circumstances, he endeavours to cover by lyes and braggadocio; and that these lyes become thereupon the subject, in this place, of detection. Upon these suppositions the whole difficulty will vanish at once, and every thing be natural, common, and plain. The Fact itself will be of course excusable; that is, it will arise out of a combination of such circum-

K 4 stances,

L 2

stances, as being applicable to one case only, will not destroy the general character: It will not be preceded by any braggadocio, containing any fair indication of Cowardice; as real Cowardice is not supposed to exist in the character. But the first act of real or apparent Cowardice would naturally throw a vain unprincipled man into the use of lyes and braggadocio; but these would have reference only to the Fast in question, and not apply to other cases or infect his general character, which is not supposed to stand in need of imposition. Again,—the detection of Cowardice as fuch, is more diverting after a long and various course of Pretence, where the lye of character is preserved, as it were, whole, and brought into sufficient magnitude for a burst of discovery; yet, mere occasional lyes, such as Falstaff is hereby supposed to utter, are, for the purpose of sport, best detected in the telling; because, indeed, they cannot be preserved for a future time; the exigence and the humour

humour will be past: But the shame arising to Falsass from the detection of mere lyes would be temporary only; his character as to this point, being already known, and tolerated for the humour. Nothing, therefore, could follow but mirth and laughter, and the temporary triumph of bassling a wit at his own weapons, and reducing him to an absolute surrender: After which, we ought not to be surprized if we see him rise again, like a boy from play, and run another race with as little dishonour as before.

What then can we fay, but that it is clearly the lyes only, not the Cowardice of Falftaff which are here detected: Lyes, to which what there may be of Cowardice is incidental only, improving indeed the Jest, but by no means the real Business of the scene.—And now also we may more clearly discern the true force and meaning of Poins's prediction. "The Jest" will be, says he, the incomprehensible Lyes that

"this fat rogue will tell us: How thirty at "least he fought with:—and in the reproof of "this lyes the jest;" That is, in the detection of these lyes simply; for as to Courage, he had never ventured to infinuate more than that Falftaff would not fight longer than he saw cause: Poins was in expectation indeed that Falstaff would fall into some dishonour on this occasion; an event highly probable: But this was not, it feems, to be the principal ground of their mirth, but the detection of those incomprehensible lyes, which he boldly predicts, upon his knowledge of Falftaff's character, this fat rogue, not Coward, would tell them. This prediction therefore, and the completion of it, go only to the impeachment of Falstaff's veracity and not of his Courage. These "lyes, fays the Prince, are like the father of "them, gross as a mountain, open, palpable.-"Why thou clay-brained gutts, thou knotty pa-"ted fool; how couldst thou know these men in Ken"dal Green, when it was so dark thou couldst "not see thy hand? Come tell us your reason."

"Poins. Come your reason, Jack, your reason."

"Again, says the Prince, Hear how a plain "Tale shall put you down—What trick, what de"vice, what starting hole canst thou now find "out to hide thee from this open and apparent "shame?"

"Poins. Come let's hear, Jack, what trick hast thou now?"

All this clearly refers to Falftaff's lyes only as fuch; and the objection feems to be, that he had not told them well, and with fufficient skill and probability. Indeed nothing feems to have been required of Falftaff at any period of time but a good evasion. The truth is, that there is so much mirth, and so little of malice or imposition, in his sictions, that they

may for the most part be considered as mere strains of humour and exercises of wit, impeachable only for defect, when that happens, of the quality from which they are principally derived. Upon this occasion Falstaff's evasions fail him; he is at the end of his invention; and it seems fair that in defect of wit, the law should pass upon him, and that he should undergo the temporary cenfure of that Cowardice which he could not pass off by any evasion whatever. The best he could think of, was instinct: He was indeed a Coward upon instinct; in that respect like a valiant lion, who would not touch the true Prince. It would have been a vain attempt, the reader will easily perceive, in Falstaff, to have gone upon other ground, and to have aimed at justifying his Courage by a serious vindication: This would have been to have mistaken the true point of argument: It was his lyes, not his Courage, which was really in question. There was besides no getting out of the toils

in which he had entangled himself: If he was not, he ought at least, by his own shewing, to have been at half-sword with a dozen of them two hours together; whereas, it unfortunately appears, and that too evidently to be evaded, that he had run with singular celerity from two, after the exchange of a few blows only. This precluded Falstaff from all rational defence in his own person;—but it has not precluded me, who am not the advocate of his lyes but of his Courage.

But there are other fingularities in Falftaff's lyes, which go more directly to his vindication.—That they are confined to one scene and one occasion only, we are not now at a loss to account for;—but what shall we say to their extravagance? The lyes of Parolles and Bobadill are brought into some shape; but the sictions of Falstaff are so preposterous and incomprehensible, that one may fairly doubt if they ever were intended for credit; and therefore

therefore, if they ought to be called lyes, and not rather humour; or, to compound the matter, humourous rhodomontades. Certain it is, that they destroy their own purpose and are clearly not the effect, in this respect, of a regulated practice, and habit of imposition. The real truth feems to be, that had Falltaff, loose and unprincipled as he is, been born a Coward and bred a Soldier, he must, naturally, have been a great Braggadocio, a true miles gloriosus: But in such case he should have been exhibited active and young; for it is plain, that age and corpulency are an excuse for Cowardice, which ought not to be afforded him. In the present case, wherein he was not only involved in suspicious circumstances, but wherein he feems to have felt some conscious touch of infirmity, and having no candid construction to expect from his laughing companions, he bursts at once, and with all his might, into the most unweighed and preposterous sictions, determined to put to proof

on this occasion his boasted talent of swearing truth out of England. He tried it here, to its utmost extent, and was unfortunately routed on his own ground; which indeed, with fuch a mine beneath his feet, could not be otherwife. But without this, he had mingled in his deceits fo much whimfical humour and fantastic exaggeration that he must have been detected; and herein appears the admirable address of Shakespeare, who can shew us Fatstaff in the various light, not only of what he is, but what he would have been under one fingle variation of character,—the want of natural Courage; whilst with an art not enough understood, he most effectually preserves the real character of Falstaff even in the moment he feems to depart from it, by making his lyes too extravagant for practifed imposition; by grounding them more upon humour than deceit; and turning them, as we shall next see, into a fair and honest proof of general Courage, by appropriating them to the concealment only of a fingle exception. And hence it is, that we fee him draw fo deeply and so confidently upon his former credit for Courage and atchievment: "I never dealt better in "my life, -thou know'ft my old ward, Hal;" are expressions, which clearly refer to some known feats and defences of his former life. His exclamations against Cowardice, his reference to his own manhood, "Die when "thou wilt old Jack, if manhood, good man-"hood, be not forgot upon the face of the earth, "then am I a shotten herring:" These, and various expressions such as these, would be absurdities not impositions, Farce not Comedy, if not calculated to conceal some defect supposed unknown to the hearers; and these hearers were, in the present case, his constant companions, and the daily witnesses of his conduct. If before this period he had been a known and detected Coward, and was confcious that he had no credit to lose, I see no reason why he should sly so violently from a familiar

familiar ignominy which had often before attached him; or why falfhoods, feemingly in fuch a case, neither calculated for or expecting credit, should be censured, or detected, as lyes or imposition.

That the whole transaction was considered as a mere jeft, and as carrying with it no ferious imputation on the Courage of Falltaff is manifest, not only from his being allowed, when the laugh was past, to call himself, without contradiction in the personated character of Hal himself, "valiant Jack Falstaff, and "the more valiant being, as he is, old Jack Falstaff," but from various other particulars, and, above all, from the declaration, which the Prince makes on that very night of his intention of procuring this fat rogue a Charge of foot;—a circumstance, doubtless, contrived by Shakespeare to wipe off the seeming dishonour of the day: And from this time forward, we hear of no imputation arising from this transaction; it is

T.

born

born and dies in a convivial hour; it leaves no trace behind, nor do we see any longer in the character of *Falstaff* the boasting or braggadocio of a Coward.

Tho' I have confidered Falfaff's character as relative only to one fingle quality, yet fo much has been faid, that it cannot escape the reader's notice that he is a character made up by Shakespeare wholly of incongruities;—a man at once young and old, enterprizing and fat, a dupe and a wit, harmless and wicked, weak in principle and resolute by conflitution, cowardly in appearance and brave in reality; a knave without malice, a lyar without deceit; and a knight, a gentleman, and a foldier, without either dignity, decency, or honour: This is a character, which, though it may be decompounded, could not, I believe, have been formed, nor the ingredients of it duly mingled upon any receipt whatever: It required the hand of Shakespeare himself to give to every particular

particular part a relish of the whole, and of the whole to every particular part; -alike the same incongruous, identical Falstaff, whether to the grave Chief Justice he vainly talks of his youth, and offers to caper for a thousand; or cries to Mrs. Doll, "I am old, I am old," though she is feated on his lap, and he is courting her for buffes. How Shakespeare could furnish out fentiment of fo extraordinary a composition, and supply it with fuch appropriated and characteristic language, humour and wit, I cannot tell; but I may, however, venture to infer, and that confidently, that he who so well underflood the uses of incongruity, and that laughter was to be raifed by the opposition of qualities in the fame man, and not by their agreement or conformity, would never have attempted to raife mirth by shewing us Cowardice in a Coward unattended by Pretence, and foftened by every excuse of age, corpulence, and infirmity: And of this we cannot have a more striking proof than his furnish-

 L_2

ing

ing this very character, on one instance of real terror, however excusable, with boast, braggadocio, and pretence, exceeding that of all other stage Cowards the whole length of his superior wit, humour, and invention.

What then upon the whole shall be said but that Shakespeare has made certain Impresfions, or produced certain effects, of which he has thought fit to conceal or obscure the cause? How he has done this, and for what special ends, we shall now presume to guess.— Before the period in which Shakespeare wrote, the fools and Zanys of the stage were drawn out of the coarsest and cheapest materials: Some effential folly, with a dash of knave and coxcomb, did the feat. But Shakespeare, who delighted in difficulties, was refolved to furnish a richer repast, and to give to one eminent buffoon the high relish of wit, humour, birth, dignity, and Courage. But this was a process which required the nicest hand, and the utmost

most management and address: These enumerated qualities are, in their own nature, productive of respect; an Impression the most opposite to laughter that can be. This Impression then, it was, at all adventures, necessary to with-hold; which could not perhaps well be without dreffing up these qualities in fantastic forms, and colours not their own; and thereby cheating the eye with shews of baseness and of folly, whilst he stole as it were upon the palate a richer and a fuller goût. To this end, what arts, what contrivances, has he not practifed! How has he steeped this fingular character in bad habits for fifty years together, and brought him forth faturated with every folly and with every vice not destructive of his effential character, or incompatible with his own primary defign! For this end, he has deprived Falstaff of every good principle; and for another, which will be prefently mentioned, he has concealed every bad one. He has given him also every infirmity of body

 L_3

P.P. 500

M

that

that is not likely to awaken our compassion, and which is most proper to render both his better qualities and his vices ridiculous: He has affociated levity and debauch with age, corpulence and inactivity with courage, and has roguishly coupled the gout with Military honours, and a pension with the pox. He has likewise involved this character in situations, out of which neither wit or Courage can extricate him with honour. The surprize at Gads-hill might have betrayed a hero into flight, and the encounter with Douglas left him no choice but death or stratagem. If he plays an after-game, and endeavours to redeem his ill fortune by lies and braggadocio, his ground fails him; no wit, no evafion will avail: Or is he likely to appear respectable in his perfon, rank, and demeanor, how is that respect abated or discharged! Shakespeare has given him a kind of state indeed; but of what is it composed? Of that fustian cowardly rascal Piffol, and his yoke-fellow of few words the equally equally deedless Nym; of his cup-bearer the fiery Trigon, whose zeal burns in his nose, Bardolph; and of the boy, who bears the purse with feven groats and two-pence;—a boy who was given him on purpose to set him off, and whom he walks before, according to his own description, "like a sow that had overwhelmed "all her litter but one."

But it was not enough to render Falftaff ridiculous in his figure, fituations, and equipage; fill his respectable qualities would have come forth, at least occasionally, to spoil our mirth; or they might have burst the intervention of such slight impediments, and have every where shone through: It was necessary then to go farther, and throw on him that substantial ridicule, which only the incongruities of real vice can furnish; of vice, which was to be so mixed and blended with his frame as to give a durable character and colour to the whole.

M 2 L 4 But

But it may here be necessary to detain the reader a moment in order to apprize him of my further intention; without which, I might hazard that good understanding, which I hope has hitherto been preserved between us.

I have 'till now looked only to the Courage of Falstaff, a quality which having been denied, in terms, to belong to his conflitution, I have endeavoured to vindicate to the Underflandings of my readers; the Impression on their Feelings (in which all Dramatic truth confifts) being already, as I have supposed, in favour of the character. In the pursuit of this subject I have taken the general Impression of the whole character pretty much, I suppose, like other men; and, when occasion has required, have so transmitted it to the reader; joining in the common Feeling of Falstaff's pleasantry, his apparent freedom from ill principle, and his companionable wit and good humour: With a stage character, in the arti-

cle of exhibition, we have nothing more to do; for in fact what is it but an Impression; an appearance, which we are to confider as a reality; and which we may venture to applaud or condemn as fuch, without further inquiry or investigation? But if we would account for our Impressions, or for certain sentiments or actions in a character, not derived from its apparent principles, yet appearing, we know not why, natural, we are then compelled to look farther, and examine if there be not fomething more in the character than is shewn; fomething inferred, which is not brought under our special notice: In short, we must look to the art of the writer, and to the principles of human nature, to discover the hidden causes of such effects.—Now this is a very different matter—The former confiderations respected the Impression only, without regard to the Understanding; but this question relates to the Understanding alone. It is true that there are but few Dramatic characters which

which will bear this kind of investigation, as not being drawn in exact conformity to those principles of general nature to which we must refer. But this is not the case with regard to the characters of Shakespeare; they are struck out whole, by some happy art which I cannot clearly comprehend, out of the general mass of things, from the block as it were of nature: And it is, I think, an easier thing to give a just draught of man from these Theatric forms, which I cannot help confidering as originals, than by drawing from real life, amidst so much intricacy, obliquity, and difguife. If therefore, for further proofs of Falltaff's Courage, or for the fake of curious speculation, or for both, I change my position, and look to causes instead of effects, the reader must not be surprized if he finds the former Falstaff vanish like a dream, and another, of more disgustful form, presented to his view; one, whose final punishment we shall be so far from regretting, that we ourselves shall be ready to confign him to a feverer doom.

The reader will very eafily apprehend that a character, which we might wholly disapprove of, confidered as existing in human life, may yet be thrown on the stage into certain peculiar fituations, and be compressed by external influences into fuch temporary appearances, as may render such character for a time highly acceptable and entertaining, and even more distinguished for qualities, which on this supposition would be accidents only, than another character really possessing those qualities, but which, under the pressure of the same situation and influences, would be difforted into a different form, or totally lost in timidity and weakness. If therefore the character before us will admit of this kind of investigation, our Inquiry will not be without some dignity, confidered as extending to the principles of human nature, and to the genius and arts of Him, who has best caught every various form of the human mind, and transmitted them with the greatest happiness and fidelity.

To return then to the vices of Falftaff.— We have frequently referred to them under the name of ill habits;—but perhaps the reader is not fully aware how very vicious he indeed is;—he is a robber, a glutton, a cheat, a drunkard, and a lyar; lascivious, vain, insolent, profligate, and profane:—A fine infusion this, and fuch as without very excellent cookery must have thrown into the dish a great deal too much of the fumet. It was a nice operation;—these vices were not only to be of a particular fort, but it was also necessary to guard them at both ends; on the one, from all appearance of malicious motive, and indeed from the manifestation of any ill principle whatever, which must have produced disgust, a fensation no less opposite to laughter than is respect; -and, on the other, from the notice, or even apprehension, in the spectators, of pernicious effett; which produces grief and terror, and is the proper province of Tragedy alone.

Actions cannot with strict propriety be said to be either virtuous or vicious. These qualities, or attributes, belong to agents only; and are derived, even in respect to them, from intention alone. The abstracting of qualities, and confidering them as independent of any subjett, and the applying of them afterwards to actions independent of the agent, is a double operation which I do not pretend, thro' any part of it, to understand. All actions may most properly, in their own nature, I think, be called neutral; tho' in common difcourfe, and in writing where precision is not requisite, we often term them vicious, transfering on these occasions the attributive from the agent to the action; and sometimes we call them evil, or of pernicious effect, by transferring, in like manner, the injuries incidentally arifing from certain actions to the life, happiness, or interest of human beings, to the natural operation, whether moral or physical, of the actions themselves: One is a colour thrown

thrown on them by the intention, in which I think consists all moral turpitude, and the other by effect: If therefore a Dramatic writer will use certain managements to keep vicious intention as much as possible from our notice, and make us sensible that no evil effect follows, he may pass off actions of very vicious motive, without much ill impression, as mere incongruities, and the effect of humour only;—words these, which, as applied to human conduct, are employed, I believe, to cover a great deal of what may deserve much harder appellation.

The difference between suffering an evil effect to take place, and of preventing such effect, from actions precisely of the same nature, is so great, that it is often all the difference between Tragedy and Comedy. The Fine gentleman of the Comic scene, who so promptly draws his sword, and wounds, without killing, some other gentleman of the

fame fort; and He of Tragedy, whose stabs are mortal, differ very frequently in no other point whatever. If our Falstaff had really peppered (as he calls it) two rogues in buckram suits, we must have looked for a very different conclusion, and have expected to have found Falstaff's Essential prose converted into blank verse, and to have seen him move off, in slow and measured paces, like the City Prentice to the tolling of a Passing bell;—"he would have become a cart as well as another, "or a plague on his bringing up."

Every incongruity in a rational being is a fource of laughter, whether it respects manners, sentiments, conduct, or even dress, or situation;—but the greatest of all possible incongruity is vice, whether in the intention itself, or as transferred to, and becoming more manifest in action;—it is inconsistent with moral agency, nay, with rationality itself, and all the ends and purposes of our being.—Our author describes

describes the natural ridicule of vice in his MEASURE for MEASURE in the strongest terms, where, after having made the angels weep over the vices of men, he adds, that with our spleens they might laugh themselves quite mortal. Indeed if we had a perfect discernment of the ends of this life only, and could preserve ourselves from sympathy, disgust and terror, the vices of mankind would be a fource of perpetual entertainment. The great difference between Heraclitus and Democritus lay, it feems, in their spleen only;—for a wife and good man must either laugh or cry without ceasing. Nor indeed is it easy to conceive (to instance in one case only) a more laughable, or a more melancholy object, than a human being, his nature and duration confidered, earneftly and anxiously exchanging peace of mind and conscious integrity for gold; and for gold too, which he has often no occasion for, or dares not employ: -But

Voltaire

Voltaire has by one Publication rendered all arguments superfluous: He has told us, in his Candide, the merriest and most diverting tale of frauds, murders, massacres, rapes, rapine, desolation, and destruction, that I think it posfible on any other plan to invent; and he has given us motive and effect, with every poffible aggravation, to improve the fport. One would think it difficult to preserve the point of ridicule, in fuch a case, unabated by contrary emotions; but now that the feat is performed it appears of easy imitation, and I am amazed that our race of imitators have made no efforts in this fort: It would answer I should think in the way of profit, not to mention the moral uses to which it might be applied. The managements of Voltaire confift in this, that he assumes a gay, easy, and light tone himself; that he never excites the reflections of his readers by making any of his own; that he hurries us on with fuch a rapidity of narration as prevents our

M

emotions

emotions from refting on any particular point; and to gain this end, he has interwoven the conclusion of one fact so into the commencement of another, that we find ourselves engaged in new matter before we are fensible that we had finished the old; he has likewife made his crimes fo enormous, that we do not fadden on any fympathy, or find ourselves partakers in the guilt.—But what is truly fingular as to this book, is, that it does not appear to have been written for any moral purpose, but for That only (if I do not err) of fatyrifing Providence itself; a defign fo enormously profane, that it may well pass for the most ridiculous part of the whole composition.

But if vice, divested of disgust and terror, is thus in its own nature ridiculous, we ought not to be surprised if the very same vices which spread horror and desolation thro' the Tragic scene should yet surnish the Comic with

with its highest laughter and delight, and that tears, and mirth, and even humour and wit itself, should grow from the same root of incongruity: For what is humour in the humourist, but incongruity, whether of sentiment, conduct, or manners? What in the man of humour, but a quick discernment, and keen fenfibility of these incongruities? And what is wit itself, without prefuming however to give a complete definition where so many have failed, but a talent, for the most part, of marking with force and vivacity unexpected points of likeness in things supposed incongruous, and points of incongruity in things fupposed alike: And hence it is that wit and humour, tho' always distinguished, are so often coupled together; it being very possible, I suppose, to be a man of humour without wit; but I think not a man of wit without humour.

But I have here raised so much new matter, that the reader may be out of hope of see-

ing this argument, any more than the tale of Tristram, brought to a conclusion: He may suppose me now prepared to turn my pen to a moral, or to a dramatic Essay, or ready to draw the line between vice and virtue, or Comedy and Tragedy, as fancy shall lead the way; -But he is happily mistaken; I am presfing earnestly, and not without some impatience, to a conclusion. The principles I have now opened are necessary to be considered for the purpose of estimating the character of Falftaff, confidered as relatively to human nature: I shall then reduce him with all possible dispatch to his Theatric condition, and restore him, I hope, without injury, to the stage.

There is indeed a vein or two of argument running through the matter that now furrounds me, which I might open for my own more peculiar purposes; but which, having resisted much greater temptations, I shall wholly defert. It ought not, however, to be forgotten,

that if Shakespeare has used arts to abate our respect of Falstaff, it should follow by just inference, that, without such arts, his character would have grown into a respect inconsistent with laughter; and that yet, without Courage, he could not have been respectable at all;—that it required nothing less than the union of ability and Courage to support his other more accidental qualities with any tolerable coherence. Courage and Ability are first principles of Character, and not to be destroyed whilst the united frame of body and mind continues whole and unimpaired; they are the pillars on which he stands firm in spight of all his vices and difgraces;—but if we should take Courage away, and reckon Cowardice among his other defects, all the intelligence and wit in the world could not support him through a fingle Play.

The effect of taking away the influence of this quality upon the manners of a cha
P.P. 800 N M 3 racter,

racter, tho' the quality and the influence be affumed only, is evident in the cases of *Parolles* and *Bobadil*. *Parolles*, at least, did not seem to want wit; but both these characters are reduced almost to non-entity, and after their disgraces, walk only thro' a scene or two, the mere mockery of their former existence. *Parolles* was so changed, that neither the *fool*, nor the old lord *Le-feu*, could readily recollect his person; and his wit seemed to be annihilated with his Courage.

Let it not be here objected that Falfaff is univerfally confidered as a Coward;—we do indeed call him so; but that is nothing, if the character itself does not act from any consciousness of this kind, and if our Feelings take his part, and revolt against our understanding.

As to the arts by which Shakespeare has contrived to obscure the vices of Falstaff, they

are fuch, as being subservient only to the mirth of the Play, I do not feel myself obliged to detail.

But it may be well worth our curiofity to inquire into the composition of Falstaff's character.— Every man we may observe, has two characters; that is, every man may be seen externally, and from without;—or a section may be made of him, and he may be illuminated from within.

Of the external character of Falftaff, we can scarcely be said to have any steady view. Jack Falftaff we are familiar with, but Sir John was better known, it seems, to the rest of Europe, than to his intimate companions; yet we have so many glimpses of him, and he is opened to us occasionally in such various points of view, that we cannot be mistaken in describing him as a man of birth and sashion, bred up in all the learning and accomplishments of

the

the times;—of ability and Courage equal to any fituation, and capable by nature of the highest affairs; trained to arms, and possessing the tone, the deportment, and the manners of a gentleman; -but yet these accomplishments and advantages feem to hang loofe on him, and to be worn with a flovenly carelessness and inattention: A too great indulgence of the qualities of humour and wit feems to draw him too much one way, and to destroy the grace and orderly arrangement of his other accomplishments;—and hence he becomes strongly marked for one advantage, to the injury, and almost forgetfulness in the beholder, of all the rest. Some of his vices likewise strike through, and stain his Exterior;—his modes of speech betray a certain licentiousness of mind; and that high Aristocratic tone which belonged to his fituation was pushed on, and aggravated into unfeeling infolence and oppreffion. "It is not a confirmed brow," fays the Chief Justice,

Justice, "nor the throng of words that come with " fuch more than impudent sauciness from you, can "thrust me from a level consideration:" "My lord, answers Falstaff, "you call honourable boldness im-"pudent sauciness. If a man will court sie and say "nothing, he is virtuous: No my lord, my humble "duty remembered, I will not be your suitor. I say "to you I defire deliverance from these officers, being "upon hasty employment in the King's affairs." "You speak, replies the Chief Justice, "as hav-"ing power to do wrong."—His whole behaviour to the Chief Justice, whom he despairs of winning by flattery, is fingularly infolent; and the reader will remember many inflances of his infolence to others: Nor are his manners always free from the taint of vulgar fociety; -"This is the right fencing grace, my lord," (fays he to the Chief Justice, with great impropriety of manners) "tap for tap, and so part fair:" "Now "the lord lighten thee," is the reflection of the Chief Justice, "thou art a very great fool."—

Such a character as I have here described, ftrengthened with that vigour, force, and alacrity of mind, of which he is possessed, must have fpread terror and difmay thro' the ignorant, the timid, the modest, and the weak: Yet is he however, when occasion requires, capable of much accomodation and flattery; -and in order to obtain the protection and patronage of the great, fo convenient to his vices and his poverty, he was put under the daily necessity of practifing and improving these arts; a baseness, which he compensates to himself, like other unprincipled men, by an increase of infolence towards his inferiors.—There is also a natural activity about Falltaff, which for want of proper employment, shews itself in a kind of swell or bustle, which seems to correspond with his bulk, as if his mind had inflated his body, and demanded a habitation of no less circumference: Thus conditioned he rolls (in the language of Offian) like a Whale of Ocean, scattering the smaller fry; but affording, in his turn, noble contention to *Hal* and *Poins*; who, to keep up the allusion, I may be allowed on this occasion to compare to the Thresher and the Sword-fish.

To this part of Falfaff's character, many things which he does and fays, and which appear unaccountably natural, are to be referred.

We are next to see him from within: And here we shall behold him most villainously unprincipled and debauched; possessing indeed the same Courage and ability, yet stained with numerous vices, unsuited not only to his primary qualities, but to his age, corpulency, rank, and profession;—reduced by these vices to a state of dependence, yet resolutely bent to indulge them at any price. These vices have been already enumerated; they are many, and become still more intolerable by an ex-

cess of unfeeling insolence on one hand, and of base accommodation on the other.

But what then, after all, is become of old Jack? Is this the jovial delightful companion—Falfaff, the favourite and the boast of the Stage?—by no means. But it is, I think however, the Falfaff of Nature; the very stuff out of which the Stage Falfaff is composed; nor was it possible, I believe, out of any other materials he could have been formed. From this disagreable draught we shall be able, I trust, by a proper disposition of light and shade, and from the influence and compression of external things, to produce plump Jack, the life of humour, the spirit of pleasantry, and the soul of mirth.

To this end, Falstaff must no longer be considered as a single independent character, but grouped, as we find him shewn to us in the Play;—his ability must be disgraced by bussionery,

buffoonery, and his Courage by circumstances of imputation; and those qualities be thereupon reduced into subjects of mirth and laughter:-His vices must be concealed at each end from vicious design and evil effect, and must thereupon be turned into incongruities, and assume the name of humour only;—his infolence must be repressed by the superior tone of Hal and Poins, and take the fofter name of spirit only, or alacrity of mind; this state of dependence, his temper of accomodation, and his activity, must fall in precisely with the indulgence of his humours; that is, he must thrive best and flatter most, by being extravagantly incongruous; and his own tendency, impelled by fo much activity, will carry him with perfect ease and freedom to all the necessary excesses. But why, it may be asked, should incongruities recommend Falstaff to the favour of the Prince?—Because the Prince is supposed to possess a high relish of humour and

and to have a temper and a force about him, which, whatever was his pursuit, delighted in excess. This, Falstaff is supposed perfectly to comprehend; and thereupon not only to indulge himself in all kinds of incongruity, but to lend out his own superior wit and humour against himself, and to heighten the ridicule by all the tricks and arts of buffoonery for which his corpulence, his age, and situation, furnish such excellent materials. This compleats the Dramatic character of Falftaff, and gives him that appearance of perfect good-nature, pleafantry, mellowness, and hilarity of mind, for which we admire and almost love him, tho' we feel certain referves which forbid our going that length; the true reason of which is, that there will be always found a difference between mere appearances, and reality: Nor are we, nor can we be, infensible that whenever the action of external influence upon him is in whole or in part relaxed, the character restores itself itself proportionably to its more unpleasing condition.

A character really possessing the qualities which are on the stage imputed to Falstaff, would be best shewn by its own natural energy; the least compression would disorder it, and make us feel for it all the pain of fympathy: It is the artificial condition of Falstaff which is the fource of our delight; we enjoy his distresses, we gird at him ourselves, and urge the sport without the least alloy of compassion; and we give him, when the laugh is over, undeserved credit for the pleasure we enjoyed. If any one thinks that these observations are the effect of too much refinement, and that there was in truth more of chance in the case than of management or design, let him try his own luck; - perhaps he may draw out of the wheel of fortune a Macbeth, an Othello, a Beneditt, or a Falstaff.

Such, I think, is the true character of this extraordinary buffoon; and from hence we may discern for what special purposes Shakespeare has given him talents and qualities, which were to be afterwards obscured, and perverted to ends opposite to their nature; it was clearly to furnish out a Stage buffoon of a peculiar fort; a kind of Game-bull which would stand the baiting thro' a hundred Plays, and produce equal fport, whether he is pinned down occasionally by Hal or Poins, or tosses such mongrils as Bardolph, or the Justices, sprawling in the air. There is in truth no fuch thing as totally demolishing Falstaff; he has so much of the invulnerable in his frame that no ridicule can destroy him; he is safe even in defeat, and feems to rife, like another Antaus, with recruited vigour from every fall; in this as in every other respect, unlike Parolles or Robadil: They fall by the first shaft of ridicule, but Falstaff is a butt on which we may empty the whole quiver, whilst the **fubftance**

substance of his character remains unimpaired. His ill habits, and the accidents of age and corpulence, are no part of his effential constitution; they come forward indeed on our eye, and folicit our notice, but they are fecond natures, not first; mere shadows, we pursue them in vain; Falstaff himself has a distinct and separate subsistence; he laughs at the chace, and when the sport is over, gathers them with unruffled feather under his wing: And hence it is that he is made to undergo not one detection only, but a feries of detections; that he is not formed for one Play only, but was intended originally at least for two; and the author we are told, was doubtful if he should not extend him yet farther, and engage him in the wars with France. This he might well have done, for there is nothing perishable in the nature of Falkaff: He might have involved him, by the vicious part of his character, in new difficulties and unlucky fituations, and have enabled

N

him

him, by the better part, to have scrambled through, abiding and retorting the jests and laughter of every beholder.

But whatever we may be told concerning the intention of Shakespeare to extend this character farther, there is a manifest preparation near the end of the second part of Henry IV. for his disgrace: The disguise is taken off, and he begins openly to pander to the excesses of the Prince, intitling himself to the character afterwards given him of being the tutor and the feeder of his riots. "I will fetch "off," (says he) "these Justices.—I will devise "matter enough out of this Shallow to keep the Prince "in continual laughter the wearing out of fix "fashions.—If the young dace be a bait for the "old pike," (speaking with reference to his own defigns upon Shallow) "I fee no reason in "the law of nature but I may snap at him."— This is shewing himself abominably dissolute: The laborious arts of fraud, which he practices tices on Shallow to induce the loan of a thoufand pound, create difgust; and the more, as we are fenfible this money was never likely to be paid back, as we are told that was, of which the travellers had been robbed. It is true we feel no pain for Shallow, he being a very bad character, as would fully appear, it he were unfolded; but Falstaff's deliberation in fraud is not on that account more excufable.—The event of the old King's death draws him out almost into detestation .-- " Maj-"ter Robert Shallow, chuse what office thou wilt " in the land,—'tis thine.—I am fortune's steward.— "Let us take any man's horses.—The laws of Eng-"land are at my commandment.—Happy are they "who have been my friends;—and woe to my "Lord Chief Justice."—After this we ought not to complain if we see Poetic justice duly executed upon him, and that he is finally given up to shame and dishonour.

N 2 But

But it is remarkable that, during this procefs, we are not acquainted with the success of Falstaff's defigns upon Shallow 'till the moment of his difgrace. "If I had had time," (fays he to Shallow, as the King is approaching,) " to have made new liveries, I would have bestowed "the thousand pounds I borrowed of you;"—and the first word he utters after this period is, "Master Shallow, I owe you a thousand pounds:" We may from hence very reasonably prefume, that Shakespeare meant to connect this fraud with the punishment of Falltaff, as a more avowed ground of cenfure and dishonour: Nor ought the confideration that this passage contains the most exquisite comic humour and propriety in another view, to diminish the truth of this observation.

But however just it might be to demolish Falstaff in this way, by opening to us his bad principles it was by no means convenient. If we had been to have seen a single representation

fentation of him only, it might have been proper enough; but as he was to be shewn from night to night, and from age to age, the disgust arising from the close, would by degrees have spread itself over the whole character; reference would be had throughout to his bad principles, and he would have become less acceptable as he was more known: And yet it was necessary to bring him, like all other stage characters, to some conclusion. Every play must be wound up by some event, which may shut in the characters and the action. If some here obtains a crown, or a mistress, involving therein the fortune of others, we are fatisfied;—we do not defire to be afterwards admitted of his council, or his bedchamber: Or it through jealoufy, causeless or well founded, another kills a beloved wife, and himself after,—there is no more to be faid;—they are dead, and there an end; Or if in the scenes of Comedy, parties are engaged, and plots formed, for the furthering or preventing the completion of that great article Cuckoldom, we expect to be fatisfied in the point as far as the nature of fo nice a case will permit, or at least to see such a manifest disposition as will leave us in no doubt of the event. By the bye, I cannot but think that the Comic writers of the last age treated this matter as of more importance, and made more buftle about it, than the temper of the present times will well bear; and it is therefore to be hoped that the Dramatic authors of the present day, some of whom, to the best of my judgment, are deferving of great praise, will consider and treat this business, rather as a common and natural incident arising out of modern manners. than as worthy to be held forth as the great object and fole end of the Play.

But whatever be the question, or whatever the character, the curtain must not only be dropt before

before the eyes, but over the minds of the spectators, and nothing left for further examination and curiofity.—But how was this to be done in regard to Falstaff? He was not involved in the fortune of the Play; he was engaged in no action which, as to him, was to be compleated; he had reference to no fystem, he was attracted to no center; he passes thro' the Play as a lawless meteor, and we wish to know what course he is afterwards likely to take: He is detected and difgraced, it is true; but he lives by detection, and thrives on difgrace; and we are defirous to fee him detected and difgraced again. The Fleet might be no bad scene of further amusement;—he carries all within him, and what matter where, if he be still the same, possessing the same force of mind, the same wit, and the same incongruity. This, Shakespeare was fully sensible of, and knew that this character could not be compleatly dismissed but by death.-"Our "author, (fays the Epilogue to the Second

"Part of Henry IV.) will continue the story "with Sir John in it, and make you merry "with fair Catherine of France; where, for any "thing I know, Falftaff shall dye of a sweat, "unless already he be killed with your hard "opinions." If it had been prudent in Shakespeare to have killed Falstaff with hard opinion, he had the means in his hand to effect it;—but dye, it seems, he must, in one form or another, and a fweat would have been no unfuitable catastrophe. However we have reason to be fatisfied as it is:—his death was worthy of his birth and of his life: "He was born, he fays, "about three o'clock in the afternoon with a white head, and fomething a round belly." But if he came into the world in the evening with these marks of age, he departs out of it in the morning in all the follies and vanities of youth ;- "He was shaked (we "are told) "of a burning quotidian tertian;— "the young King had run bad humours on the "knight;—his heart was fracted and corroborate; "and a' parted just between twelve and one, even "at the turning of the tide, yielding the crow a "pudding, and passing directly into Arthur's bosom, "if ever man went into the bosom of Arthur."—So ended this singular bustoon; and with him ends an Essay, on which the reader is lest to bestow what character he pleases: An Essay professing to treat of the Courage of Falstass, but extending itself to his Whole character; to the arts and genius of his Poetic-Maker, Shakespeare; and thro' him sometimes, with ambitious aim, even to the principles of human nature itself.

THE END.

ERRAT A.1

Page. line.

49, 8, (in the notes) for Henry VI. read Henry IV.

50, 3, (in the notes) correct the same error.

60, the last but two in the notes, for be this when thou art dead—read—be thus, &cc.

65, 13, for the plains of Sciola-read-the plains of Sciola.

78, 9, for as far—read—fo far.
84, 14, for minching malicho—read—miching malicho.

105, 6 and 7, for goes off the fickly effort — read—goes off in the fickly effort.

108, the last but one, for bare - read - baje.
109, 18, for circumstances - read - circumstance.

In a few of the copies

172, 4, tor the jouial delightful companion—read—Is this the jouial, &c.

¹ [Corrected in this reprint.]

THE OXFORD MISCELLANY

Each 3s. 6d. net

¶ Poetry: Reproductions of Original Editions

BROWNING. Men and Women, 1855. The two volumes in one.

BURNS. The Kilmarnock Edition, 1786. Reprinted in type-facsimile.

COLERIDGE & WORDSWORTH. Lyrical Ballads, 1798. Edited by H. LITTLEDALE.

COLLINS. Poems. With facsimile title-pages, three illustrations, and a Memoir by CHRISTOPHER STONE.

GRAY. Poems, 1768. Reprinted in type-facsimile, with four illustrations.

KEATS. Lamia, Isabella, The Eve of St. Agnes, and other Poems, 1820. A page-for-page and line-for-line reprint.

SHELLEY (MARY). Proserpine and Midas. Two unpublished Mythological Dramas. Edited by A. Koszul.

SHELLEY. Prometheus Unbound, with other Poems, 1820. With a portrait.

TENNYSON. Poems, 1842.

WORDSWORTH. Poems, 1807.

¶ Selections from the Poets

BARNES (WILLIAM). Edited with a Preface and glossarial notes by THOMAS HARDY. With a portrait.

BLAKE. The Lyrical Poems. With an Introduction (45 pages) by Sir Walter Raleigh, and two drawings by Blake. Also on Oxford India Paper, 4s. 6d. net.

CLARE (JOHN). With an Introduction by ARTHUR SYMONS.

DE TABLEY (LORD). With an Introduction by JOHN DRINKWATER.

PRAED (WILLIAM MACKWORTH). Edited by A. D. Godley. With a portrait.

REYNOLDS (JOHN HAMILTON). Poetry and Prose. Edited by G. L. MARSH.

SOUTHEY (ROBERT). The Lives and Works of the Uneducated Poets. Edited by J. S. CHILDERS.

Fune 1932

THE OXFORD MISCELLANY

¶ Fiction

BARRETT (E.S.). The Heroine, or Adventures of a Fair Romance Reader (1813). With an Introduction by Sir Walter Raleigh.

GALT (JOHN). Annals of the Parish (1821). With an Introduction by G. S. GORDON, and a frontispiece and facsimile title-page.

INCHBALD (MRS.). A Simple Story (1791). With an Introduction by LYTTON STRACHEY, and facsimile title-page.

PEACOCK (T.L.). Nightmare Abbey. A page-for-page reprint of the first edition, 1818. Edited by C. E. Jones.

READE (CHARLES). A Good Fight (1859). The original short version of The Cloister and the Hearth. Here for the first time reprinted in book-form. With an Introduction by ANDREW LANG, and fifteen illustrations by CHARLES KEENE.

TAYLOR (JANE). Prose & Poetry. Ed. by F. V. BARRY.

WOLLSTONECRAFT (MARY). Original Stories from Real Life. Introduction by E. V. LUCAS, with six illustrations by W. BLAKE,

¶ Anthologies

ECHOES FROM THE OXFORD MAGAZINE, 1883-1890.

MORE ECHOES FROM THE OXFORD MAGAZINE, 1890-1896. With contributions by Sir ARTHUR QUILLER-COUCH and his contemporaries.

SEA-SONGS AND BALLADS, 1400-1886. Edited by C. STONE. With an Introduction by Admiral Sir Cyprian Bridge. Also on Oxford India Paper, 4s. 6d. net.

TREASURY OF SACRED SONG, from Dunbar to Tennyson. Selected by Francis Turner Palgrave. Also on Oxford India Paper, 4s. 6d. net.

WAR-SONGS, 1333-1866. Selected by Christopher Stone. With an Introduction by General Sir Ian Hamilton.

WORDSWORTH. Poems and Extracts chosen by Wordsworth (from the Countess of Winchelsea and others) for an album, Christmas, 1819, and now first printed. Introduction by H. LITTLEDALE and Preface by J. R. REES. With a portrait and facsimile.

THE OXFORD MISCELLANY

¶ Travel and Topography

CURZON (ROBERT). Visits to Monasteries in the Levant. With an Introduction by D. G. HOGARTH. Illustrated.

KINGLAKE (A. W.). Eothen. With an Introduction by D. G. HOGARTH, and two illustrations.

LOWELL (JAMES RUSSELL). Fireside Travels. With an Introduction by E. V. Lucas,

MORITZ (CARL PHILIPP). Travels in England in 1782. A reprint of the English translation of 1795. With an Introduction by P. E. MATHUSON, and two illustrations.

WORDSWORTH (WILLIAM). A Guide to the Lakes. With an Introduction by E. DE SELINCOURT, and eight contemporary illustrations. On Oxford India Paper only, 4s. 6d, net.

¶ Literary History and Criticism

COLERIDGE. Literary Criticism. Introduction by J. W. MACKAIL.

DE QUINCEY. Literary Criticism. Introduction by HELEN DARBISHIRE.

HURD. Letters on Chivalry and Romance. Introduction by EDITH MORLEY.

JEFFREY. Literary Criticism. Introduction by D. NICHOL SMITH. With a portrait.

JOHNSON ON SHAKESPEARE. Essays and Notes selected and set forth with an Introduction by Sir Walter Raleigh.

JOHNSON. Selections from 'The Rambler'. Introduction by W. HALE WHITE, with a portrait and two other illustrations.

MORGANN. Essay on Sir John Falstaff, 1777. A page-for-page and line-for-line reprint. Introduction by W. A. Gill.

THREE OXFORD IRONIES. Being Copleston's Advice to a Young Reviewer, Mansel's Phrontisterion, or Oxford in the Nineteenth Century, and The Oxford Ars Poetica. Edited by G. S. GORDON.

PEACOCK (T.L.). Memoirs of Shelley. With Shelley's Letters to Peacock, and two portraits. With an Introduction by H. F. B. BRETT-SMITH.

SHELLEY. Literary and Philosophical Criticism. With an Introduction by John Shawcross.

SHELLEY. Prose in the Bodleian Manuscripts. Edited by A. H. Koszul.

TRELAWNY. Recollections of the Last Days of Shelley and Byron. With two portraits and two other illustrations. Introduction by E. DOWDEN.

WORDSWORTH. Literary Criticism. Introduction by NOWELL C. SMITH. On Oxford India Paper only, 4s. 6d. net.

WORDSWORTH. Tract on the Convention of Cintra, 1809. Introduction by A. V. Dicey.

¶ Miscellaneous

BOSWELL (JAMES). Note Book, 1776-1777. Recording particulars of Johnson's early life communicated by him and others in those years.

COBBETT. Grammar of the English Language. Introduction by Sir H. L. STEPHEN.

COBBETT. Advice to Young Men, and (incidentally) to Young Women, 1829. With a facsimile title-page.

THE HAMBLEDON MEN. John Nyren's Young Cricketer's Tutor. Edited with other matter from various sources by E. V. LUCAS. With twenty-two illustrations, and a 'Ballade of Dead Cricketers' by ANDREW-LANG.

THE TOSA DIARY. Written in A.D. 935. Translation from the Japanese by W. N. PORTER, with notes and a map of the voyage from Tosa to Kyōto.

OF ALL BOOKSELLERS

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

Humphrey Milford, Amen House, London, E.C. 4